

Comparison tables: BBOB 2009 function testbed in 20-D

The BBOBies

November 20, 2009

Abstract

This document provides tabular results of the workshop for Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking at GECCO 2009, see <http://coco.gforge.inria.fr/doku.php?id=bbob-2009>. More than 30 algorithms have been tested on 24 benchmark functions in dimensions between 2 and 40. A description of the used objective functions can be found in [14, 9]. The experimental set-up is described in [13].

The performance measure provided in the following tables is the expected number of objective function evaluations to reach a given target function value (ERT, expected running time), divided by the respective value for the best algorithm. Consequently, the best (smallest) value is 1 and the value 1 appears in each column at least once. See [13] for details on how ERT is obtained. All numbers are computed with no more than two digits of precision.

Table 1: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_1 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δ ftarget	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ ftarget
ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1	0.05	64	150	320	520	710	920	1100	1400	1800	ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	58	55	130	200	260	320	390	440	550	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	18	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDA _{cG}	1	1	49	110	200	310	410	500	610	710	1e3	BayEDA _{cG} [10]
BFGS	1	1	7.4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	1	1	840	730	1600	2500	3500	4300	5200	6100	7800	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	9.2	7.9	14	20	26	33	39	45	57	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.2	5.4	9.2	13	17	21	25	29	37	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	60	26	45	66	86	120	150	200	300	DASA [19]
DEPSO	1	1	31	30	81	190	330	560	810	1400	<i>44e-7/2e3</i>	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	1	1	8.6	48	110	220	360	490	680	870	1400	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	1	1	19	450	1100	1700	2300	2900	3600	4200	5400	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	1	1	41	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	1	1	31	8	13	18	23	27	32	37	48	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	1	1	130	880	1900	3200	1.2e4	3.1e4	2e5	6.7e5	<i>74e-5/1e5</i>	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	37	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	13	27	57	88	120	150	180	210	270	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbd	1	1	43	9.3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	LSfminbd [28]
LSstep	1	1	850	160	170	180	180	180	180	180	180	LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	19	21	51	78	100	120	140	160	200	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	2.4	6.4	6.8	7	7	7	7	7	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	1	1	9.1	5.2	12	19	27	32	36	40	49	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	1	1	6.8	3.3	6.7	11	16	21	27	32	40	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1	1	7.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	11	4.9	8.1	11	15	18	22	25	31	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	1	1	830	180	400	870	1400	1800	2300	2800	3800	POEMS [20]
PSO	1	1	16	22	3400	3500	3600	3600	3700	3800	3800	PSO [7]
PSO-Bounds	1	1	19	120	1500	2100	2800	3300	3800	4500	1.6e4	PSO-Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	1	1	170	<i>29e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	1	1	19	3.8	5.8	7.2	9.1	11	12	14	17	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	13	7.1	12	18	23	29	34	39	50	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	28	10	17	23	29	36	41	48	60	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 2: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_2 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	18.6	19	19.2	19.3	19.3	19.4	19.5	19.5	19.6	19.7	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	45	66	88	110	140	160	190	220	260	370		ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	22	29	35	43	50	56	62	68	76	88		AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	3.5	10	21	43	63	89	120	140	160	200		avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAcG	31	42	54	64	76	84	94	<i>34e-5/2e3</i>				BayEDAcG [10]
BFGS	9.1	15	20	24	26	27	27	27	28	28		BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	190	310	410	510	610	710	800	900	990	1200		Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	15	26	35	40	44	45	47	47	48	50		BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	14	22	30	37	39	41	41	42	43	44		(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5.6	7.8	10	14	18	23	28	34	39	49		DASA [19]
DEPSO	12	23	42	66	110	500	<i>39e-3/2e3</i>					DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	38	53	130	470	490	510	540	1200	<i>14e-1/5e3</i>			DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	200	270	330	400	470	540	600	670	740	880		EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	23	94	450	3800	<i>47e-1/1e4</i>							full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	15	47	130	210	320	420	550	650	760	990		G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	300	460	3200	6800	<i>7.3e4</i>	<i>29e-1/1e5</i>						simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	8.4	13	18	23	26	30	33	33	33	33		GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	11	17	22	27	30	33	36	40	43	49		iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		LSfminbnd [28]
LSstep	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17		LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	7.6	11	15	19	23	27	32	36	43	76		MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	2.2	5.4	14	21	41	43	45	45	<i>30e-8/4e3</i>		MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	4.3	6	7	7.8	8.6	9.2	28	10	11	12		NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	5.3	8.5	13	17	19	23	28	32	36	48		NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1.9	6.8	18	42	71	92	130	150	170	220		NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	270	1800	6900	1.6e4	2.6e4	3.8e4	7.4e4	1.8e5	3.7e5	<i>59e-5/1e6</i>		(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	150	190	250	300	340	400	450	500	560	660		POEMS [20]
PSO	16	1900	4600	4600	4600	4600	4500	4500	4500	4500		PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	120	230	360	530	840	1400	1800	2100	2300	2600		PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	<i>12e+4/1e6</i>											Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	1	1.2	1.4	1.6	5.8	18	29	66	73	73		Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEFP-CMA-ES	4.8	6.2	7.5	8.3	9.1	9.7	10	11	11	13		IPOP-SEFP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	35	57	72	82	88	95	97	98	98	99		VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 3: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_3 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D											ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	19	54	12.7	50	190	230	240	270	310	400	3200	ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	17	43	<i>1e3</i>	27	<i>40e-1/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	88	<i>1e3</i>		<i>97e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAacG	15	85		<i>73e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [10]
BFGS	570	<i>28e+1/6e3</i>		BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	2900	450		<i>69e+0/5e4</i>	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	25	6.7		12	<i>40e-1/3e5</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	37	840		<i>92e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	210	5.9		1	8.3	35	35	35	35	36	36	DASA [19]
DEPSO	42	130		<i>84e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	1	28		<i>43e+0/5e3</i>	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	22	150		44	<i>70e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	180	650		<i>88e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	24	1.2e4		<i>13e+1/5e4</i>	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	26	190		29	3700	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	19	1400		<i>15e+1/2e3</i>	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	24	19		38	1.8e4	<i>20e-1/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	190	1.3		<i>19e+0/6e3</i>	LSfminbnd [28]
LSstep	4e3	27		1.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	20	12		7	95	160	160	160	160	160	160	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	1		28	<i>13e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	42	260		<i>81e+0/1e4</i>	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	24	71		<i>47e+0/2e4</i>	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	41	7500		<i>13e+1/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	360	2.3e4		<i>81e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	4e3	43		9.6	69	140	140	150	150	150	160	POEMS [20]
PSO	19	21		<i>21e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	28	330		120	190	360	360	360	380	400	430	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	32	<i>26e+1/1e6</i>		Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	560	<i>23e+1/7e3</i>		Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	28	5.2		10	<i>60e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	20	8.1		8.3	340	490	520	560	620	630	630	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 4: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_4 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.05	37.3	29	150	381	383	384	385	388	7050	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	92	29	29	236	9800	30e-1/2e5						ALPS [17]
AMaLgAM IDEA	79	20	20	<i>14e+0/1e6</i>								AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	110	1400	1400	<i>12e+1/2e4</i>								avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDA-cG	83	40	40	<i>69e+0/2e3</i>								BayEDA-cG [10]
BFGS	1700	<i>40e+1/8e3</i>										BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	5100	4300	4300	<i>11e+1/5e4</i>								Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	37	2.4	2.4	<i>12e+0/3e5</i>								BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	46	<i>14e+1/1e4</i>										(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	290	2.1	2.1	1	130	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	91	DASA [19]
DEPSO	120	120	120	<i>10e+1/2e3</i>								DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	1	11	11	<i>88e+0/5e3</i>								DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	20	56	56	6e3	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	270	4e3	4e3	<i>13e+1/1e4</i>								full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	79	<i>19e+1/5e4</i>										G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	100	80	80	65	3800	<i>34e-1/1e5</i>						simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	160	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>										GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	61	7.1	7.1	<i>13e+0/1e6</i>								iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	280	1.2	1.2	<i>49e+0/7e3</i>								LSfminbnd [28]
LSstep	4400	9.5	6.2	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	60	6.2	6.2	53	<i>30e-1/1e5</i>							MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	<i>21e+0/4e3</i>								MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	40	<i>13e+1/1e4</i>										NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	35	1600	1600	<i>11e+1/2e4</i>								NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	55	4300	4300	<i>17e+1/1e4</i>								NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	82	3.8e5	3.8e5	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>								(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	4e3	18	18	13	140	220	240	250	250	250	14	POEMS [20]
PSO	44	8.6	8.6	5900	<i>23e+0/1e5</i>							PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	66	130	130	190	290	300	360	360	370	380	21	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	130	<i>33e+1/1e6</i>										Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	650	<i>20e+1/8e3</i>										Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	55	2.5	2.5	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>								IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	110	3.2	3.2	27	2.8e4	1.3e5	1.3e5	<i>20e-1/4e6</i>				VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 5: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_5 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

5 Linear slope												
Δf_{target}	ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT_{best}/D		0.05	1.83	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	ALPS [17]	1	51	160	210	250	280	300	310	320	330	ALPS [17]
AMaLGA	AMaLGA IDEA [4]	1	34	75	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	AMaLGA IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [31]	1	2.7	2.7	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDA	BayEDA cG [10]	1	67	150	200	200	200	210	210	210	210	BayEDA cG [10]
BFGS	BFGS [30]	1	1.4	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	BFGS [30]
Cauchy	Cauchy EDA [24]	1	110	160	170	170	170	170	170	170	170	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]	1	2.7	5.1	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	1.6	3.1	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	DASA [19]	1	14	24	29	34	38	43	47	52	64	DASA [19]
DEPSO	DEPSO [12]	1	16	39	46	48	48	48	48	48	48	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	DIRECT [25]	1	62	180	220	230	230	230	230	230	230	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [6]	1	5.2	27	34	37	39	39	39	39	39	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [31]	1	6.4	6.2	6.5	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	G3-PCX [26]	1	7.5	19	25	26	27	27	27	27	27	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	simple GA [22]	1	570	2200	4600	7500	1.1e4	1.4e4	1.8e4	2.2e4	2.3e5	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [23]	1	9.5	9.9	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGA	iAMaLGA IDEA [4]	1	4.4	9.8	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	iAMaLGA IDEA [4]
LSfmnbnd	LSfmnbnd [28]	1	14	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	LSfmnbnd [28]
LSstep	LSstep [28]	1	150	180	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [21]	1	22	41	44	46	46	46	46	46	46	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [18]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	NELDER (Han) [16]	1	3.8	7.4	8.8	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	9.2	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	NELDER (Doe) [5]	1	2.6	5.2	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [31]	1	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	1	1.6	3.1	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	POEMS [20]	1	180	260	310	330	350	350	350	350	350	POEMS [20]
PSO	PSO [7]	1	4.7	4.3e4	4.3e4	4.3e4	4.3e4	4.3e4	4.3e4	4.3e4	4.3e4	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [8]	1	4.5	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	160	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	1	2.5e6	<i>11e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	Rosenbrock [27]	1	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]	1	2.9	6.2	7.3	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [11]	1	4.9	6.8	7.6	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 6: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_6 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$											$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	59	25	34	64.7	87.2	123	152	184	219	248	309	ALPS [17]
AMaLGA-M IDEA	26	22	19	22	22	21	22	22	21	22	22	AMaLGA-M IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.3	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDA-cG	46	41	60e+0/2e3									BayEDA-cG [10]
BFGS	2.2	2.7	3.6	3.6	4.7	4.7	4.9	5	4.8	4.9	61	BFGS [30]
Cauchy-EDA	6200	1500	1e3	1700	17e-1/5e4							Cauchy-EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.9	2.2	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.9	4.5	13	180	1200	13e-1/1e4						(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	12	6.8	9.9	19	25	33	49	58	74	74	74	DASA [19]
DEPSO	11	7.5	12	64	13e-1/2e3							DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	18	31	40e+0/5e3									DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	27	46	40	45	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	5	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	4.1	1.4	1.4	2	2	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.4	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	320	130	2e3	11e+0/1e5								simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	5	2.9	3.6	4.9	4.9	8.5	42e-3/2e3					GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGA-M IDEA	5.1	5.6	5.4	6.8	7.1	7.1	7.7	7.8	7.7	8	8.3	iAMaLGA-M IDEA [4]
LSfminbd	9	31	160	760	1100	960	960	72e-1/1e4				LSfminbd [28]
LSStep	140	260	2300	59e+0/1e4								LSStep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	11	4.9	7.5	8.9	8	8	7.7	7.2	6.7	6.5	6	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1.8	33	42e+0/4e3									MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	2.2	2.4	2.7	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.5	4	7.4	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	1.5	2.3	9.1	20	28	28	65	110	430	46e-5/2e4		NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.8	3.9	5.2	6.1	6.5	6.4	6.7	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	89	26	31	37	37	36	36	36	35	36	37	POEMS [20]
PSO	6.4	280	1100	1400	980	820	820	710	620	570	790	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	9.5	45	120	150	140	140	140	140	130	160	220	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	2.4e5	48e+1/1e6										Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	2.1	3.9	31	76	210	230	230	810	21e-2/1e4			Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.2	2.1	1.7	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2	2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	5	2.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 7: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_7 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.53	7.59	67.5	214	475	826	826	826	826	848	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		5	26	30	850	930	<i>48e-2/2e5</i>					ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3	3	10	3.6	2.1	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	7.1	1.4	100	100	<i>51e-1/2e4</i>							avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAacG	4.3	31	57	57	<i>11e+0/2e3</i>							BayEDAacG [10]
BFGS	69	<i>67e+1/100</i>										BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	130	130	44	44	29	18	14	14	14	14	14	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.5	2.8	16	30	4.9	3.5	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.6	16	49	1.8e4	54	300	<i>92e-2/1e4</i>					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	16	7.9	7	18	<i>13e+0/4e5</i>							DASA [19]
DEPSO	2.7	3.5	7	15e+0/6e3								DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	3.5	65	26	26	950	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>						DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	2.5	1.4	1.9	4.6	700	<i>27e-1/1e4</i>						EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	4.7	5.8	2.8	760	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>							full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	5.8	180	77	77	<i>32e-1/1e5</i>							G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	4.6	2.9	5.8	<i>22e+0/700</i>								simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	2	23	21	1.7	1	1	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	230	180	5.4	1e3	<i>15e+0/1e4</i>							iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	3.4	5.4	57	4.3	<i>29e+0/1e4</i>							LSfminbnd [28]
LSStep	3.4	5.4	57	4.3	<i>38e+0/4e3</i>							LSStep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	1	4.5	4.6	2200	<i>16e+0/1e4</i>							MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	2.5	3.6	43	370	<i>97e-1/2e4</i>							MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	3.1	440	55	21	<i>18e+0/2e4</i>							NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	3.1	440	55	21	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>							NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	3.1	440	55	21	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>							NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	3.1	440	55	21	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	3.1	440	55	21	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>							POEMS [20]
PSO	3.1	440	55	21	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>							PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	3.3	26	26	9700	<i>62e-1/1e5</i>							PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	3.2	2.1e5			<i>22e+0/1e5</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	500	<i>38e+1/3e3</i>										Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.4	2.8	3.4	2.3	4	2.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	1.1	3.7	5.4	78	72	72	2400	2400	2400	2400	2300	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 8: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_8 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D											ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	70	51	100	187	460	600	740	1e3	1200	3100	222	ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	25	16	20	18	18	19	20	20	21	21	22	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAeG	55	35	<i>48e+0/2e3</i>									BayEDAeG [10]
BFGS	1.9	2	1.9		1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	360	200	200	200	180	210	250	260	290	360	550	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4	2.4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.7	1.8	3.9	3.9	6.5	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.7	6.6	6.7	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	15	26	34	57	57	120	200	280	350	420	550	DASA [19]
DEPSO	16	16	<i>17e+0/2e3</i>									DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	25	82	<i>64e+0/5e3</i>									DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	220	110	190	220	260	330	330	410	<i>17e-5/1e5</i>			EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	3.4	2.6	1.4	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	3.9	2	2.7	2.7	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.7	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	480	250	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>									simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	4.1	2.4	1.7		1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	13	6.4	8.6	9.2	9.2	9.5	9.8	10	10	10	11	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	7.1	17	9.6	120	120	710	720	<i>40e-1/1e4</i>				LSfminbnd [28]
LSStep	150	71	25	130	130	220	350	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>				LSStep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	12	10	14	13	13	13	13	14	13	13	14	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1.4	1.2	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	3.2	2.9	3.4	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.9	5.1	5.3	5.6	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	3.2	3	2.9	4.9	5.5	6	6	6.5	7.2	7.7	9.2	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	2.5	11	13	120	120	120	140	160	180	200	240	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	100	84	590	7600	<i>74e-1/1e5</i>							POEMS [20]
PSO	15	19	93	320	350	350	410	470	580	890	3300	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	88	160	540	450	450	1800	7200	<i>15e-2/1e5</i>				PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo												Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	2	1.1	4	25	25	28	35	42	55	62	670	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.5	1.7	5.6	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	6	3.1	6	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 9: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_9 , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D											ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	4800	37	350	155	164	169	173	176	180	186		ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2e3	11	22	22	23	24	24	25	25	25	25	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	230	1.5	1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAeG	4800	27	<i>18e+0/2e3</i>									BayEDAeG [10]
BFGS	210	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	2	2	2	2	1.9	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	3.3e4	220	190	300	310	340	340	340	470	630	630	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	390	2.6	4.7	5.7	6	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	260	2.4	4.5	6.7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6.9	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	2e3	77	210	1300	1500	1800	2100	2400	2700	3700	3700	DASA [19]
DEPSO	1400	25	<i>18e+0/2e3</i>									DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	1	4.7	<i>22e+0/5e3</i>									DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	2.2e4	130	280	450	<i>14e-2/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	460	3	1.8	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	410	3.6	2.9	3.8	4	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	4.4e4	270	<i>19e+0/1e5</i>									simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	420	2	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1400	7.5	9.6	11	12	12	12	12	12	12	13	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbd	740	6.5	52	470	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>							LSfminbd [28]
LSstep	1.5e4	130	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>									LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	820	7.3	17	25	27	29	31	31	30	30	30	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	200	2.3	3.6	6.6	7.2	7.8	8.4	8.6	8.8	8.8	8.9	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	150	1.4	3.3	6.1	6.6	7	7.5	7.9	8.4	9.4	9.4	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	130	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	260	2.2	12	52	65	86	110	130	160	200	200	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	1e4	74	2e3	<i>99e-1/1e5</i>								POEMS [20]
PSO	1600	40	670	<i>75e-1/1e5</i>								PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	8.2e4	650	700	9700	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>							PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	<i>68e+2/1e6</i>											Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	190	1.2	8.4	31	37	49	63	850	<i>35e-5/1e4</i>			Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	320	2.2	6.9	7	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	510	3.2	6.9	8.2	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.5	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 10: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{10} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δ ftarget	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ ftarget
ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	330	2500	<i>16e+1/2e5</i>	371	433	537	682	746	810	854	874	ALPS [17]
AMaLgAM IDEA	3.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	2	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.1	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.5	1.5	2.6	3.1	3.1	3.6	3.9	4.2	5	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAacG	<i>42e+3/2e3</i>											BayEDAacG [10]
BFGS	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.3	3.1	<i>77e-8/5e4</i>	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	36	21	20	20	20	20	19	20	21	21	25	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.1	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1	1	1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	390	1200	3400	<i>72e-1/1e6</i>								DASA [19]
DEPSO	<i>17e+3/2e3</i>											DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	<i>94e+2/5e3</i>											DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	480	<i>36e+1/1e5</i>										EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	9.9	15	34	<i>67e-1/1e4</i>								full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	3.1	3.4	6.5	10	12	12	13	15	16	18	23	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA												simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	1.7	1	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	2	2.6	5.9	<i>19e-6/2e3</i>	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	2.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1	1	1	1	1.1	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	290	<i>22e+2/1e4</i>										LSfminbnd [28]
LSstep	<i>18e+3/1e4</i>											LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	11	12	11	13	13	11	8.5	7.8	7.2	6.9	6.8	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)												MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	2.9	5.3	390	<i>30e+0/1e4</i>								NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	2.2	4.2	30	<i>57e-1/2e4</i>								NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1.2	1.1	1.7	2.6	2.6	3.3	3.3	4	4.3	4.7	5.8	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	50	110	300	700	700	1e3	1e3	2200	3500	8700	<i>94e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	5100	<i>12e+2/1e5</i>										POEMS [20]
PSO	1200	5600	<i>84e+1/1e5</i>									PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	650	<i>41e+1/1e5</i>										PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo												Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	27	140	<i>27e+1/1e4</i>									Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	7.2	3.8	3.1	2.9	2.9	2.4	2	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	8.2	5.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.2	3.4	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.7	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 11: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on $f_{1,1}$, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δ f _{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ f _{target}
ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	59	24.1	59	490	980	314	429	488	554	614	742	ALPS [17]
AMaLGA-M IDEA	7	3.3	11	5	3.7	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.9	AMaLGA-M IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	6	11	15	15	11	5.7	5.1	5.6	5.5	5.8	6.1	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDA-cG	4.9	250	<i>14e+1/2e3</i>									BayEDA-cG [10]
BFGS	2.9	1	1	1	1	1.3	2.6	150	<i>31e-4/1e4</i>			BFGS [30]
Cauchy-EDA	100	71	64	64	44	22	20	22	24	25	26	Cauchy-EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.1	18	10	10	5.1	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.4	5.6	7	7	5.1	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.3	2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	4.4	120	570	570	690	420	550	730	810	980	1500	DASA [19]
DEPSO	8.2	110	<i>95e+0/2e3</i>									DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	2.1	23	74	<i>76e+0/5e3</i>								DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	4.3	74	1900	1.3e4	1.3e4	<i>79e-1/1e5</i>						EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	5	40	57	45	45	21	18	36	88	<i>75e-5/1e4</i>		full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	4	9.7	120	2.9e4	14	7	6.5	7.1	7.3	7.6	8	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	4.2	120	2.9e4	<i>20e+0/1e5</i>								simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	5	1.5	1.5	1.2	1	1	2.2	<i>74e-4/1e3</i>				GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGA-M IDEA	4.4	5.2	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>	4.4	2.7	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	iAMaLGA-M IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	2	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>										LSfminbnd [28]
LSStep	2.4	<i>29e+1/1e4</i>										LSStep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	2.6	30	63	63	35	14	12	11	10	9.3	7.8	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	53	<i>62e+0/4e3</i>									MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	3.3	5.2	41	41	290	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>						NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	4.4	4.4	17	17	24	74	<i>75e-3/2e4</i>					NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1.5	15	15	15	13	5.8	5.6	6.1	6.2	6.6	6.5	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	2100	1400	1600	1600	1200	580	560	610	620	670	680	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	130	52	440	440	410	190	190	980	<i>34e-4/1e5</i>			POEMS [20]
PSO	4.1	46	140	140	190	110	110	130	140	150	2e3	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	4.4	210	570	570	440	220	240	480	660	1200	<i>12e-4/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	5.5	930	<i>67e+0/1e6</i>									Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	2.9	880	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>									Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.1	34	20	20	10	3.7	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.1	1.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	44	23	12	12	6.2	2.4	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.4	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 12: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{12} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

12 Bent cigar											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT _{best} /D	25.4	29.6	52.1	96.9	137	158	207	321	620	691	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	80	90	87	240	840	3500	<i>33e-3/2e5</i>	.	.	.	ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	28	29	19	12	13	16	15	12	7.6	8.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.4	1.3	11	15	18	24	24	20	12	21	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDA _c G	55	60	42	77	<i>21e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDA _c G [10]
BFGS	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.6	2.2	1.8	45	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	450	520	510	440	420	400	380	360	390	1100	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3	3	3	4	4.5	4.9	4.5	3.3	1.9	2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.8	3.1	7.7	9.6	9.9	9.6	8.3	6	3.4	3.6	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	13	16	2.2e4	<i>2.8e4</i>	<i>4.7e4</i>	<i>8.9e4</i>	<i>6.8e4</i>	<i>4.4e4</i>	<i>2.3e4</i>	<i>1.3e+0/1e6</i>	DASA [19]
DEPSO	39	48	68	<i>77e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	340	330	420	240	<i>25e+3/5e3</i>	.	6800	4400	2300	.	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	230	250	300	260	720	2600	6800	4400	2300	<i>57e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	2.3	6.7	11	15	26	27	38	37	35	100	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.8	3	3.3	2.9	2.1	1.2	1.3	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	7100	1.5e4	<i>14e+2/1e5</i>	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	1.1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	3.4	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	12	13	8.7	6.6	7.2	8.1	7.8	6	3.6	3.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	2.9	3	97	410	<i>76e-1/1e4</i>	LSfminbnd [28]
LSStep	29	35	230	680	<i>16e+0/1e4</i>	LSStep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	11	12	7.4	9.8	130	140	150	97	51	47	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1.3	1.3	1.1	8.4	12	24	43	87	94	<i>16e-4/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	2.7	5.5	19	26	57	78	340	460	<i>54e-4/1e4</i>	.	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	2.3	4.1	13	45	61	400	1400	<i>21e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1.3	1.3	3	3	3	3	2.5	1.8	1	1	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	1.7	1.7	1.2e4	6.7e4	<i>52e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	160	170	420	2100	<i>37e-1/1e5</i>	POEMS [20]
PSO	640	550	1700	<i>64e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	240	270	700	3e3	5100	<i>64e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	<i>28e+6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	1	1	14	56	210	910	<i>70e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEFP-CMA-ES	2.7	2.8	5.8	6.7	6.4	6.8	5.9	4.3	2.4	2.5	IPOP-SEFP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	3.3	3.4	5.9	5.9	6.6	9.1	8.3	7.4	4.1	4.4	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 13: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{13} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	3.21	8.51	32.6	101	138	175	937	1090	1220	1510	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	49	130	130	97	130	420	2700	<i>14e-3/2e5</i>				ALPS [17]
AMaLgAM IDEA	18	41	41	18	8	8	7.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.5	5.3	14	30	14	57	170	<i>67e-5/2e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAeG	45	150	150	910	<i>49e+0/2e3</i>							BayEDAeG [10]
BFGS	1.2	1.6	4.10	210	1	1	1	23	87	<i>96e-5/2e4</i>		BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	260	410	410	210	100	100	100	23	23	23	23	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.6	5.1	3.4	4.3	2.7	5.1	6.2	1.5	1.6	2.3	3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.9	3.4	3.4	4.9	7.1	10	11	4.2	5.7	6.3	14	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	15	22	22	380	230	570	1600	670	1200	3700	<i>51e-6/1e6</i>	DASA [19]
DEPSO	11	40	40	64	<i>53e-1/2e3</i>							DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	15	2400	2400	<i>13e+1/5e3</i>								DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	110	330	330	160	330	1200	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>					EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	4.1	3.6	3.6	1.8	6	18	49	26	40	120	<i>30e-4/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	4.3	4.8	4.8	9.3	17	43	75	47	110	130	330	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	290	700	700	5100	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>							simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	5.7	3.1	3.1	2	1.1	1.1	1.1	4.5	4.2	<i>16e-4/1e3</i>		GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	9.2	18	8.7	8.7	4.1	4.3	4.4	1	1	1	1	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
LSfminbd	6.2	8.7	8.7	19	19	68	150	160	130	<i>53e-3/1e4</i>		LSfminbd [28]
LSstep	180	310	310	460	1400	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>						LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	7.3	22	22	11	390	700	1800	1500	1300	<i>27e-3/1e5</i>		MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1.3	8.4	8.4	34	37	61	330	<i>60e-2/4e3</i>				MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	2.3	6.4	6.4	11	29	60	180	77	130	<i>35e-3/1e4</i>		NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	1.5	3.4	3.4	12	18	31	87	98	270	<i>36e-4/2e4</i>		NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	1	3	9.3	37	19	130	<i>43e-4/9e3</i>		NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	2.5	3.3	3.3	7.4	13	26	95	50	110	410	2e3	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	100	200	200	1700	1.4e4	<i>59e-1/1e5</i>						POEMS [20]
PSO	6.1	1800	1800	6200	6400	1e4	8e3	1500	1300	<i>22e+0/1e5</i>		PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	12	310	310	2300	4100	<i>50e-1/1e5</i>						PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	1.5e5	<i>92e+1/1e6</i>										Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	2.2	2	2	2.5	4.2	8.3	31	17	43	120	<i>18e-4/1e4</i>	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.6	5	5	5.8	5.4	7.7	8	1.7	1.9	1.9	2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	5.8	6.2	6.2	4.3	55	120	180	130	320	540	1500	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 14: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{14} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	3.7	4.1	56	78	93	1200	66.6	82.4	783		ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	4.7	19	22	30	28	17	15	14	1.9		AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	38	2.7	1.5	1.6	1.3	2.7	9.3	26			avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAeG	1	7.2	55	67	150	250	<i>15e-3/2e3</i>					BayEDAeG [10]
BFGS	1	23	2.7	1.8	2	1.8	1.2	1.1	1.1	<i>18e-7/1e4</i>		BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	1	930	280	270	350	340	180	180	180	25		Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	7.6	3.9	2.9	3.7	4.3	4.1	5	6.2	1.2		BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	18	3.1	1.9	2.3	2.5	2.3	3.4	5.6	1.2		(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	93	16	10	12	22	75	560	5200	<i>43e-7/1e6</i>		DASA [19]
DEPSO	1	13	14	14	25	49	<i>44e-4/2e3</i>					DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	1	1	8.4	150	290	<i>90e-3/5e3</i>						DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	1	3.8	85	140	200	190	130	350	<i>21e-6/1e5</i>			EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	1	47	5.9	3	3.6	3.3	2.6	5	20	<i>12e-7/1e4</i>		full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	1	5.7	4.1	2.4	2.8	3	2.7	4.5	13	59		G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	1	4.1	280	320	480	2900	<i>72e-4/1e5</i>					simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	1	7.5	5	2.2	2.1	1.8	1.1	1	1	<i>28e-7/400</i>		GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	4.3	11	9.3	13	12	7.8	6.9	6.9	1		iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbd	1	83	8.4	5.2	5.6	9.3	57	<i>31e-5/1e4</i>				LSfminbd [28]
LSstep	1	910	190	110	120	210	<i>46e-4/1e4</i>					LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	1	4.1	7.6	11	13	16	14	23	23	6		MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	2.1	3.4	3.7	3.2	<i>15e-5/4e3</i>				MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	1	23	2.3	3	3.9	3.6	2.9	4.9	36	<i>44e-7/1e4</i>		NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	1	11	2	2.1	3.5	3.7	3	5.4	21	<i>26e-7/2e4</i>		NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1	20	1.5	1	1	1	1	2.3	9.1	43		NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	1	17	2.5	1.8	2	2.2	4.8	38	470	<i>71e-8/1e6</i>		(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	1	3100	110	66	120	160	130	2e3	<i>94e-6/1e5</i>			POEMS [20]
PSO	1	7.2	6.7	12	20	27	54	580	<i>44e-6/1e5</i>			PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	1	4.6	23	100	170	240	380	1300	<i>78e-6/1e5</i>			PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	1	5.3	4.5e4	<i>80e-1/1e6</i>								Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	1	80	2.4	1.2	1.8	7.4	97	<i>71e-6/1e4</i>				Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	14	3.3	2.6	3.2	3.7	6.8	8.8	10	1.6		IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	1	3.6	6.4	3.9	4.4	5.1	5	6.4	7.8	1.4		VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 15: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{15} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

15 Rastrigin														
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}			
ERT_{best}/D	0.06	83.5	1520	7330	15600	15800	16000	16100	22500	23000	ERT_{best}/D			
ALPS	18	10	<i>20e+0/2e5</i>								ALPS [17]			
AMaLgAM IDEA	12	7.1	1.5	2	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.2	1.2	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]			
avg NEWUOA	56	120	<i>95e+0/1e4</i>								avg NEWUOA [31]			
BayEDAacG	13	23	<i>93e+0/2e3</i>								BayEDAacG [10]			
BFGS	670	<i>25e+1/6e3</i>									BFGS [30]			
Cauchy EDA	1700	68	<i>67e+0/5e4</i>								Cauchy EDA [24]			
BIPOP-CMA-ES	17	1.2	1	2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]			
(1+1)-CMA-ES	33	73	<i>85e+0/1e4</i>								(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]			
DASA	160	3e4	<i>11e+1/1e6</i>								DASA [19]			
DEPSO	12	<i>12e+1/2e3</i>									DEPSO [12]			
DIRECT	1	110	<i>10e+1/5e3</i>								DIRECT [25]			
EDA-PSO	20	22	36	<i>80e-1/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [6]			
full NEWUOA	120	81	<i>77e+0/1e4</i>								full NEWUOA [31]			
G3-PCX	21	550	<i>92e+0/5e4</i>								G3-PCX [26]			
simple GA	21	59	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>								simple GA [22]			
GLOBAL	13	<i>25e+1/1e3</i>									GLOBAL [23]			
iAMaLgAM IDEA	12	3.1	2.8	14	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.4	5.3	5.2	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]			
LSfminbnd	130	<i>14e+1/1e4</i>									LSfminbnd [28]			
LSstep	2400	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>									LSstep [28]			
MA-LS-Chain	10	3.7	6.9	<i>60e-1/1e5</i>							MA-LS-Chain [21]			
MCS (Neum)	1	70	<i>10e+1/4e3</i>								MCS (Neum) [18]			
NELDER (Han)	28	54	<i>80e+0/1e4</i>								NELDER (Han) [16]			
NELDER (Doe)	25	17	<i>54e+1/2e4</i>								NELDER (Doe) [5]			
NEWUOA	29	1100	<i>12e+1/6e3</i>								NEWUOA [31]			
(1+1)-ES	340	5400	<i>82e+0/1e6</i>								(1+1)-ES [1]			
POEMS	2700	23	<i>34e+0/1e5</i>								POEMS [20]			
PSO	16	92	<i>49e+0/1e5</i>								PSO [7]			
PSO_Bounds	14	220	<i>51e+0/1e5</i>								PSO_Bounds [8]			
Monte Carlo	16	<i>26e+1/1e6</i>									Monte Carlo [3]			
Rosenbrock	7700	<i>35e+1/1e4</i>									Rosenbrock [27]			
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	14	1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	6.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]			
VNS (Garcia)	22	1.5	310	<i>60e-1/6e6</i>							VNS (Garcia) [11]			

Table 16: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{16} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

16 Weierstrass															
Δf_{target}	$1e+03$	$1e+02$	$1e+01$	$1e+00$	$1e-01$	$1e-02$	$1e-03$	$1e-04$	$1e-05$	$1e-07$	Δf_{target}				
ERT_{best}/D	0.05	0.05	69.2	1360	3850	6960	9400	9760	9890	11000	ERT_{best}/D				
ALPS	1	1.2	9.3	110	<i>78e-2/2e5</i>	ALPS [17]				
AMaLGA _M IDEA	1	1.1	14	3.3	5.2	5.7	AMaLGA _M IDEA [4]				
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	3.6	<i>31e-1/2e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [31]				
BayEDA _{cG}	1	1.2	<i>21e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDA _{cG} [10]				
BFGS	1	140	<i>26e+0/2e4</i>	BFGS [30]				
Cauchy EDA	1	3.5	<i>16e+0/5e4</i>	Cauchy EDA [24]				
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	1.7	1	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]				
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	34	<i>53e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]				
DASA	1	2.3	980	<i>44e-1/1e6</i>	DASA [19]				
DEPSO	1	1.2	<i>23e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [12]				
DIRECT	1	1	8.3	7	<i>12e-1/5e3</i>	DIRECT [25]				
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	530	55	380	<i>75e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [6]				
full NEWUOA	1	1.9	4.6	110	<i>25e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [31]				
G3-PCX	1	1.3	17	<i>32e-1/5e4</i>	G3-PCX [26]				
simple GA	1	1.3	140	1e3	<i>24e-1/1e5</i>	simple GA [22]				
GLOBAL	1	1.2	1	<i>42e-1/600</i>	GLOBAL [23]				
iAMaLGA _M IDEA	1	1.2	3.6	1.7	13	18	29	29	28	26	iAMaLGA _M IDEA [4]				
LSfminbnd	1	1.1	160	<i>95e-1/1e4</i>	LSfminbnd [28]				
LSstep	1	1	240	<i>10e+0/1e4</i>	LSstep [28]				
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	19	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [21]				
MCS (Neum)	1	1	11	<i>75e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [18]				
NELDER (Han)	1	1.3	17	<i>47e-1/1e4</i>	NELDER (Han) [16]				
NELDER (Doe)	1	1.4	7.2	<i>30e-1/2e4</i>	NELDER (Doe) [5]				
NEWUOA	1	1.1	16	<i>53e-1/1e4</i>	NEWUOA [31]				
(1+1)-ES	1	1.2	1400	<i>72e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]				
POEMS	1	250	15	21	100	<i>58e-2/1e5</i>	POEMS [20]				
PSO	1	1.3	110	<i>47e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [7]				
PSO_Bounds	1	1.2	130	1e3	<i>25e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [8]				
Monte Carlo	1	1.3	6.5e4	Monte Carlo [3]				
Rosenbrock	1	3.4	<i>29e+0/1e4</i>	Rosenbrock [27]				
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	3.1	1	1	1.1	1.4	1.9	1.9	1.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]				
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.6	9.1	500	<i>72e-3/3e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [11]				

Table 17: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{17} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT _{best} /D	0.05	3.15	51.5	200	5100	16e-2/2e5	612	1530	2230	2810	4020	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1	1.5	19	14	7.7	4.3	5.1	4.7	3.5	5.1	5.4	ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	14	2.4	<i>29e-1/4e4</i>	48	<i>15e-2/2e3</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.1	19	19	56e-1/2e4	62	30	16	16	23	<i>37e-7/5e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAeG	1	2	360	260	2	1	1	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.4	BayEDAeG [10]
BFGS	1	350	200	260	2	1	1	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.4	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	1	1.1	200	260	2	1	1	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.4	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	4	2.2	<i>49e-1/1e4</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	29	29	<i>49e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	8.5	6.6e4	57e-1/1e6	150	<i>43e-2/2e3</i>	DASA [19]
DEPSO	1	1.5	8.3	18	55e-2/5e3	20	22	10	8.1	18	21	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	1	1	1.8	55	<i>55e-2/5e3</i>	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	13	36	<i>37e-1/1e4</i>	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	1	9.4	13	37e-1/1e4	7100	<i>21e-2/1e5</i>	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	1	1.2	4	<i>35e-1/5e4</i>	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	1	1.2	57	92	7100	<i>21e-2/1e5</i>	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1.6	6.2	<i>44e-1/3e3</i>	1.5	1.4	6.1	6.1	22	29	23	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	6.4	<i>82e-1/1e4</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	1	6.8	990	<i>82e-1/1e4</i>	LSfminbnd [28]
LSStep	1	55	1700	<i>78e-1/1e4</i>	LSStep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	3.5	5.1	7.7	10	12	12	33	59	86	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	<i>42e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	1	1.3	240	<i>62e-1/1e4</i>	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	1	4	2.1	<i>46e-1/2e4</i>	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1	5.1	16	<i>38e-1/8e4</i>	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	1	5.7	5.2e4	<i>73e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	1	540	94	25	19	200	270	270	<i>11e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	POEMS [20]
PSO	1	1.1	3.2	2500	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.3	3	830	<i>85e-2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	120	<i>50e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	1	1.6e4	2.1e4	<i>19e+0/1e4</i>	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	2.8	4	3.1	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.2	5.3	1.2	1.5	5.8	34	34	2500	<i>10e-5/4e6</i>	.	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 18: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{18} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT _{best} /D	ERT _{best} /D											ERT _{best} /D
ALPS [17]	1.1	3.8	0.14	31	199	978	1430	3380	4980	6530	7330	ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1.2	3.8	3.8	7.3	3	1	1	1.7	2.7	2.8	3.7	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [31]	1.3	19	3200	3200	<i>10e+0/6e4</i>							avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAacG [10]	1	6.4	15	15	17	<i>95e-2/2e3</i>						BayEDAacG [10]
BFGS [30]	1	920	<i>21e+0/2e4</i>									BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA [24]	2.5	270	96	42	42	15	16	12	13	38	<i>25e-6/5e4</i>	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]	1	5.3	1	2.4	<i>16e+0/1e4</i>	1.2	1.6	1.1	1.8	1.7	1.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	12	4800	4800	<i>25e+0/1e6</i>							(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [19]	1	60	4.6e5	7.1	49	<i>16e-1/2e3</i>						DASA [19]
DEPSO [12]	1	7.9	7.1	7.1	49	<i>12e-1/5e3</i>						DEPSO [12]
DIRECT [25]	1	6.5	9.1	110	110							DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO [6]	1.1	4.2	30	30	15	5.2	5.4	18	83	220	<i>47e-5/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA [31]	1.1	23	950	950	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>							full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX [26]	1.1	2.2	7100	7100	<i>14e+0/5e4</i>							G3-PCX [26]
simple GA [22]	1.1	7.8	76	76	310	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>						simple GA [22]
GLOBAL [23]	1.1	5.9	<i>17e+0/4e3</i>									GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1.2	3.4	2.7	4500	<i>26e+0/1e4</i>	2.3	3.7	19	18	16	18	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd [28]	2.2	31	31	31								LSfminbnd [28]
LSStep [28]	1.1	610	<i>31e+0/1e4</i>									LSStep [28]
MA-LS-Chain [21]	1.1	5.8	3.6	3.6	3.6	26	78	210	<i>43e-4/1e5</i>			MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum) [18]	1	1	<i>17e+0/4e3</i>									MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han) [16]	1	830	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>									NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe) [5]	1	6.5	4300	4300	<i>14e+0/2e4</i>							NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA [31]	3.9	320	1.2e4	1.2e4	<i>11e+0/8e4</i>							NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES [1]	1	2.9e5	<i>24e+0/1e6</i>									(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS [20]	5.1	1100	21	140	140	210	990	<i>38e-2/1e5</i>				POEMS [20]
PSO [7]	1.1	5.2	240	240	<i>29e-1/1e5</i>							PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds [8]	1.1	2.4	69	7100	7100	<i>22e-1/1e5</i>						PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo [3]	1.1	7.7	<i>18e+0/1e6</i>									Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock [27]	1.4e4	4.8e4	<i>97e+0/1e4</i>									Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]	1	8.5	1	1	1	1.1	1.2	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia) [11]	1	2.5	1.3	1	1	14	250	<i>40e-4/4e6</i>				VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 19: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{19} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	17200	2.34e5	3.11e5	3.33e5	3.34e5	3.37e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	ALPS [17]	1	1	1200	6.2e5	<i>31e-2/2e5</i>						
AMaLgAM IDEA	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]	1	1.2	740	3.4e4	7.6	4.5	8.8	8.2	8.2	8.1	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [31]	1	2.9	210	8e6	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>						BayEDA cG [10]
BayEDA cG	BayEDA cG [10]	1	1.1	1500	<i>41e-1/2e3</i>							BFGS [30]
BFGS	BFGS [30]	1	170	1.2e6	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>							Cauchy EDA [24]
Cauchy EDA	Cauchy EDA [24]	1	3.4	8400	<i>34e-1/5e4</i>							BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]	1	1	170	2.4e4	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	1	1400	2.8e6	<i>18e-1/1e4</i>						DASA [19]
DASA	DASA [19]	1	2.1	1.8e6	<i>58e-1/1e6</i>							DEPSO [12]
DEPSO	DEPSO [12]	1	1.1	430	<i>50e-1/2e3</i>							DIRECT [25]
DIRECT	DIRECT [25]	1	1	1	1	<i>21e-2/5e3</i>						EDA-PSO [6]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [6]	1	1.1	4600	2.8e7	<i>26e-1/1e5</i>						full NEWUOA [31]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [31]	1	1.3	480	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>							G3-PCX [26]
G3-PCX	G3-PCX [26]	1	1.1	800	<i>26e-1/5e4</i>							simple GA [22]
simple GA	simple GA [22]	1	1.1	1.4e4	6.5e5	<i>44e-2/1e5</i>						GLOBAL [23]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [23]	1	1	5600	<i>57e-1/3e3</i>							iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]	1	1.1	460	1.8e6	44	<i>72e-3/1e6</i>					LSfminbnd [28]
LSfminbnd	LSfminbnd [28]	1	2.6	1200	<i>38e-1/1e4</i>							LSstep [28]
LSstep	LSstep [28]	1	1.30	7800	<i>41e-1/1e4</i>							MA-LS-Chain [21]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [21]	1	1.1	280	2.9e4							MCS (Neum) [18]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [18]	1	1	1	1	13	<i>11e-2/1e5</i>					NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Han)	NELDER (Han) [16]	1	1	160	1.4e6	<i>19e-1/1e4</i>						NELDER (Doe) [5]
NELDER (Doe)	NELDER (Doe) [5]	1	1	73	4.3e5	<i>96e-2/2e4</i>						NEWUOA [31]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [31]	1	1.1	76	4.3e6	<i>12e-1/1e5</i>						(1+1)-ES [1]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	1	2.5	6.3e6	<i>56e-1/1e6</i>							POEMS [20]
POEMS	POEMS [20]	1	170	6200	1.4e6	<i>94e-2/1e5</i>						PSO [7]
PSO	PSO [7]	1	1.1	380	<i>32e-1/1e5</i>							PSO_Bounds [8]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [8]	1	1.1	820	<i>31e-1/1e5</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	1	1.1	5.9e5	<i>78e-1/1e6</i>							Rosenbrock [27]
Rosenbrock	Rosenbrock [27]	1	1.1	33e+0/1e4								IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]	1	1	150	2.7e4	8.7	<i>29e-2/1e4</i>					VNS (Garcia) [11]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [11]	1	1	330	8.2e4	<i>21e-2/6e6</i>						

Table 20: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{20} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	3.53	4.09	2310	1.55e5	2.77e5	2.76e5	2.78e5	2.78e5	2.8e5	2.82e5	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS [17]	48	54	53	9.2	<i>47e-2/2e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	ALPS [17]
AMaLgAM IDEA	20	22	20	88	<i>68e-2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.8	1.4	1.3	110	<i>12e-1/2e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAcG	47	51	49	<i>31e-1/2e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	BayEDAcG [10]
BFGS	1.7	1.9	2.1	5.8	<i>90e-2/2e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	310	330	340	<i>27e-1/5e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.7	4.4	4.3	9.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.5	3.6	3.4	21	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	22	21	20	2.1	<i>40e-2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	DASA [19]
DEPSO	15	16	15	<i>21e-1/2e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	17	36	31	<i>18e-1/5e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	210	230	230	15	<i>63e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	4.2	3.4	3.1	64	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	5.6	5.4	5	<i>12e-1/5e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	470	520	500	2.8	<i>32e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	6.6	5.6	5.2	1.6	<i>99e-2/4e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	14	14	14	240	<i>88e-2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
LSfminbd	11	11	11	5.9	<i>97e-2/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	LSfminbd [28]
LSstep	230	260	280	11	<i>10e-1/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	8	9.7	9.4	3.3	4.8	<i>24e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	5.9	5.4	4.7	12	<i>12e-1/4e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	3.1	3.4	3.5	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	1.9	2.1	2.2	28	<i>11e-1/2e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	15	<i>10e-1/2e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	3.4	3.2	3.1	110	<i>88e-2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	130	120	120	1	<i>30e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	POEMS [20]
PSO	12	15	17	50	<i>11e-1/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	PSO [7]
PSO-Bounds	66	79	86	11	<i>53e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	PSO-Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	1.7e6	<i>15e+2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	3	2.7	2.6	2.9	<i>97e-2/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.4	4.7	4.5	13	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	8.1	7.7	7.1	1.1	<i>30e-2/1e7</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 21: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{21} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D		0.05	0.05	28.1	327	705	716	732	754	778	879	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	ALPS [17]	1	1	14	13	7	7.7	8.3	9.2	9.8	11	11	ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1	51	2400	1500	1500	1500	1500	1400	1300	1300	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [31]	1	1	3.2	5.7	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3	2.9	2.9	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAeG	BayEDAeG [10]	1	1	55	<i>60e-1/2e3</i>	7.3	BayEDAeG [10]
BFGS	BFGS [30]	1	1	1.9	5.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	7.3	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	Cauchy EDA [24]	1	1	1e3	<i>32e-1/5e4</i>	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]	1	1	3.2	55	48	47	46	45	43	39	39	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	1	3.6	7.6	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.4	5.3	4.7	4.7	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	DASA [19]	1	1	240	100	100	100	99	96	93	83	83	DASA [19]
DEPSO	DEPSO [12]	1	1	22	13	8.3	8.6	8.9	9.3	19	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	DIRECT [25]	1	1	3.3	27	<i>19e-1/5e3</i>	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [6]	1	1	35	850	570	560	550	530	520	460	460	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [31]	1	1	7.4	3.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.1	3.7	3.7	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	G3-PCX [26]	1	1	12	7.2	5.1	5	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.1	4.1	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	simple GA [22]	1	1	90	620	400	400	900	890	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [23]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2.1	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1	10	670	540	540	530	520	540	490	490	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbd	LSfminbd [28]	1	1	30	27	20	20	19	19	18	16	16	LSfminbd [28]
LSstep	LSstep [28]	1	1	120	200	200	200	200	190	190	170	170	LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [21]	1	1	140	310	230	230	220	210	210	180	180	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [18]	1	1	26	32	26	25	25	24	23	32	32	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	NELDER (Han) [16]	1	1	7.7	20	24	24	23	23	22	20	20	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	NELDER (Doe) [5]	1	1	7.6	4	2	2.1	2.1	2	2	1.8	1.8	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [31]	1	1	1.7	2.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1	1	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	1	1	8.3	13	9.4	9.3	9.1	8.8	8.6	7.6	7.6	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	POEMS [20]	1	1	2500	<i>67e-1/1e5</i>	POEMS [20]
PSO	PSO [7]	1	1	1800	4300	2e3	2e3	1900	1900	1800	1600	1600	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [8]	1	1	560	1200	2e3	2e3	1900	1900	1800	1600	1600	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	1	1	<i>26e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	Rosenbrock [27]	1	1	7.8	7.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	3.8	3.8	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]	1	1	15	50	58	57	56	54	53	47	47	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [11]	1	1	11	55	29	29	29	28	27	25	25	VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 22: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{22} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

		1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	19	9.7	1170	81	86	110	180	130	ALPS [17]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	8.2	1900	<i>69e-2/1e6</i>	79	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2	5.6	14	14	13	13	12	12	2.4	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAeG	1	1	34	31	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [10]
BFGS	1	1	2.5	1.8	8.1	8.1	7.9	7.7	7.4	9.5	14	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	1.1	1.1	470	1200	<i>51e-1/5e4</i>	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	6.8	13	210	210	210	200	190	190	37	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.5	4.2	5.6	5.6	5.3	5.3	5.1	5	1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	34	75	220	210	210	210	200	200	40	DASA [19]
DEPSO	1	1	20	16	<i>26e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	1	1	9.8	16	<i>71e-2/5e3</i>	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1600	1e3	<i>26e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	1	1	2.2	12	60	59	57	57	54	53	11	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	1	1	11	6.6	23	22	22	22	21	20	4	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	1	1	110	1500	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.3	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	8.1	440	<i>69e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
LSfminbd	1	1	59	16	37	36	36	36	35	34	7.2	LSfminbd [28]
LSstep	1	1	280	<i>51e-1/1e4</i>	LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	3.8	810	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	17	20	50	48	47	47	<i>20e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	1	1	17	18	61	59	58	58	55	54	11	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	1	1	5.2	6.5	8.3	8.2	8	7.7	7.5	7.5	1.5	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	4.9	6.8	6.6	6.4	6.4	6.2	6	1.2	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	11	5	11	11	11	11	10	10	2.1	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	1	1	2300	5e3	<i>51e-1/1e5</i>	POEMS [20]
PSO	1	1	5	410	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [7]
PSO.Bounds	1	1	680	730	1200	1200	1100	1100	1100	1100	210	PSO.Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	1	1	6.4e5	<i>30e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	1	1	3.4	4.3	12	12	12	12	11	11	2.2	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	6.2	23	<i>69e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	14	67	1300	1200	1200	1200	1200	1100	440	VNS (Garcia) [11]

22 Gallagher 21 peaks

Table 23: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{23} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.05	0.05	0.16	80.7	3370	18300	24400	25500	40600	41900	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [17]	1	1	1	1.9	82	<i>29e-2/2e5</i>	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS [17]
AMaLGA M IDEA [4]	1	1	1	1.7	23	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGA M IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [31]	1	1	1	15	4.7	<i>20e-2/2e4</i>						avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDA cG [10]	1	1	1	1.6	<i>23e-1/2e3</i>							BayEDA cG [10]
BFGS [30]	1	1	1	47	300	<i>13e-1/5e3</i>						BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA [24]	1	1	1	1.9	<i>19e-1/5e4</i>							Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]	1	1	1	4.3	32	1	1.7	2	1.9	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	1	1	5.8	9.1	<i>37e-2/1e4</i>						(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [19]	1	1	1	3.4	64	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>						DASA [19]
DEPSO [12]	1	1	1	1.6	<i>26e-1/2e3</i>							DEPSO [12]
DIRECT [25]	1	1	1	4.1	52	<i>38e-2/5e3</i>						DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO [6]	1	1	1	2.1	<i>16e-1/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA [31]	1	1	1	14	7	44	<i>25e-2/1e4</i>					full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX [26]	1	1	1	2.8	7.8	<i>30e-2/3e4</i>						G3-PCX [26]
simple GA [22]	1	1	1	1.7	4600	<i>12e-1/1e5</i>						simple GA [22]
GLOBAL [23]	1	1	1	2.8	1	<i>43e-2/500</i>						GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLGA M IDEA [4]	1	1	1	1.9	5.4	1.6	2.3	5.1	5.2	3.3	3.2	iAMaLGA M IDEA [4]
LSfm m bnd [28]	1	1	1	4.4	210	<i>10e-1/1e4</i>						LSfm m bnd [28]
LSstep [28]	1	1	1	2.2	81	<i>91e-2/1e4</i>						LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain [21]	1	1	1	1.8	7.1	8.9	<i>61e-3/1e5</i>					MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum) [18]	1	1	1	1.3	120	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>						MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han) [16]	1	1	1	2.1	3.3	43	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>					NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe) [5]	1	1	1	1.9	1.4	86	<i>17e-2/2e4</i>					NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA [31]	1	1	1	12	3.5	32	<i>39e-2/8e3</i>					NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES [1]	1	1	1	27	32	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>						(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS [20]	1	1	1	23	42	13	<i>59e-3/1e5</i>					POEMS [20]
PSO [7]	1	1	1	2.2	1600	<i>95e-2/1e5</i>						PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds [8]	1	1	1	3	8400	<i>12e-1/1e5</i>						PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo [3]	1	1	1	2.6	5.5e4	<i>11e-1/1e6</i>						Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock [27]	1	1	1	1.7	4.6	<i>50e-2/4e3</i>						Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]	1	1	1	4.9	18	3.8	<i>81e-3/1e4</i>					IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia) [11]	1	1	1	1	25	10	1700	<i>23e-3/2e6</i>				VNS (Garcia) [11]

Table 24: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{24} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	331	1	5.7	66900	3.74e5	2.6e6	2.6e6	2.6e6	2.6e6	2.6e6	2.6e6	ALPS [17]
AMaLgAM IDEA	1.1	1.1	4.2	51	19	<i>23e-1/1e6</i>	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.4	3.3	3.3	<i>74e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [31]
BayEDAacG	1	44	44	<i>11e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [10]
BFGS	8	<i>31e+1/6e3</i>	BFGS [30]
Cauchy EDA	1.7	76	76	<i>91e+0/5e4</i>	Cauchy EDA [24]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	5.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [15]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	24	24	<i>90e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1.1	<i>16e+1/1e6</i>	DASA [19]
DEPSO	1.1	<i>14e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [12]
DIRECT	1	<i>15e+1/5e3</i>	DIRECT [25]
EDA-PSO	1.1	28	28	<i>86e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [6]
full NEWUOA	3.7	4.5	4.5	<i>71e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [31]
G3-PCX	1.1	190	190	<i>11e+1/5e4</i>	G3-PCX [26]
simple GA	1.1	35	35	<i>42e+0/1e5</i>	simple GA [22]
GLOBAL	1	<i>21e+1/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [23]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	1.1	1.3	2.8	21e-1/1e6	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
LSfminbnd	7.1	<i>15e+1/1e4</i>	LSfminbnd [28]
LSstep	3.1	210	210	<i>19e+1/1e4</i>	LSstep [28]
MA-LS-Chain	1.1	2.1	42	25e+0/1e5	MA-LS-Chain [21]
MCS (Neum)	1	12	12	<i>10e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [18]
NELDER (Han)	1	49	49	<i>10e+1/1e4</i>	NELDER (Han) [16]
NELDER (Doe)	1	3.7	3.7	<i>50e+0/2e4</i>	NELDER (Doe) [5]
NEWUOA	6.5	4.3	4.3	<i>89e+0/8e3</i>	NEWUOA [31]
(1+1)-ES	4.1	3100	3100	<i>93e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
POEMS	2.7	10	10	<i>46e+0/1e5</i>	POEMS [20]
PSO	1	63	63	<i>60e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [7]
PSO_Bounds	1.1	81	81	<i>66e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [8]
Monte Carlo	1	<i>26e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
Rosenbrock	1.4	<i>37e+1/1e4</i>	Rosenbrock [27]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	<i>23e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [29]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.8	68	88e-1/1e7	VNS (Garcia) [11]

References

- [1] Anne Auger. Benchmarking the (1+1) evolution strategy with one-fifth success rule on the BBOB-2009 function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2447–2452.
- [2] Anne Auger and Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking the (1+1)-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2459–2466.
- [3] Anne Auger and Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the pure random search on the BBOB-2009 testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2479–2484.
- [4] Peter A. N. Bosman, Jörn Grahl, and Dirk Thierens. AMaLGaM IDEAs in noiseless black-box optimization benchmarking. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2247–2254.
- [5] Benjamin Doerr, Mahmoud Fouz, Martin Schmidt, and Magnus Wahlström. BBOB: Nelder-mead with resize and halfruns. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2239–2246.
- [6] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using an EDA and PSO hybrid. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2263–2268.
- [7] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using particle swarm optimization. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2269–2274.
- [8] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using PSO_Bounds. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2275–2280.
- [9] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noiseless functions. Technical Report 2009/20, Research Center PPE, 2009.
- [10] Marcus Gallagher. Black-box optimization benchmarking: results for the BayEDAcG algorithm on the noiseless function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2281–2286.
- [11] Carlos García-Martínez and Manuel Lozano. A continuous variable neighbourhood search based on specialised EAs: application to the noiseless BBO-benchmark 2009. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2287–2294.
- [12] José García-Nieto, Enrique Alba, and Javier Apolloni. Noiseless functions black-box optimization: evaluation of a hybrid particle swarm with differential operators. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2231–2238.
- [13] N. Hansen, A. Auger, S. Finck, and R. Ros. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Experimental setup. Technical Report RR-6828, INRIA, 2009.
- [14] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noiseless functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6829, INRIA, 2009.

- [15] Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking a bi-population CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2389–2396.
- [16] Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking the nelder-mead downhill simplex algorithm with many local restarts. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2403–2408.
- [17] Gregory S. Hornby. The Age-Layered Population Structure (ALPS) evolutionary algorithm, July 2009. Noiseless testbed.
- [18] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of MCS on the noiseless function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 989.
- [19] Peter Korosec and Jurij Silc. A stigmergy-based algorithm for black-box optimization: noiseless function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2295–2302.
- [20] Jirí Kubalik. Black-box optimization benchmarking of prototype optimization with evolved improvement steps for noiseless function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2303–2308.
- [21] Daniel Molina, Manuel Lozano, and Francisco Herrera. A memetic algorithm using local search chaining for black-box optimization benchmarking 2009 for noise free functions. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2255–2262.
- [22] Miguel Nicolau. Application of a simple binary genetic algorithm to a noiseless testbed benchmark. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2473–2478.
- [23] László Pál, Tibor Csendes, Mihály Csaba Markót, and Arnold Neumaier. BBO-benchmarking of the GLOBAL method for the noiseless function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 986.
- [24] Petr Posik. BBOB-benchmarking a simple estimation of distribution algorithm with Cauchy distribution. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2309–2314.
- [25] Petr Posik. BBOB-benchmarking the DIRECT global optimization algorithm. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2315–2320.
- [26] Petr Posik. BBOB-benchmarking the generalized generation gap model with parent centric crossover. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2321–2328.
- [27] Petr Posik. BBOB-benchmarking the Rosenbrock’s local search algorithm. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2337–2342.
- [28] Petr Posik. BBOB-benchmarking two variants of the line-search algorithm. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2329–2336.
- [29] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking sep-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2435–2440.
- [30] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the BFGS algorithm on the BBOB-2009 function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2409–2414.
- [31] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the NEWUOA on the BBOB-2009 function testbed. In Rothlauf [32], pages 2421–2428.

- [32] Franz Rothlauf, editor. *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO 2009, Proceedings, Montreal, Québec, Canada, July 8-12, 2009, Companion Material*. ACM, 2009.