

Comparison tables: BBOB 2009 noisy testbed in 3-D

The BBOBies

November 20, 2009

Abstract

This document provides tabular results of the workshop for Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking at GECCO 2009, see <http://coco.gforge.inria.fr/doku.php?id=bbob-2009>. More than 30 algorithms have been tested on 24 benchmark functions in dimensions between 2 and 40. A description of the used objective functions can be found in [13, 8]. The experimental set-up is described in [12].

The performance measure provided in the following tables is the expected number of objective function evaluations to reach a given target function value (ERT, expected running time), divided by the respective value for the best algorithm. Consequently, the best (smallest) value is 1 and the value 1 appears in each column at least once. See [12] for details on how ERT is obtained. All numbers are computed with no more than two digits of precision.

Table 1: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.333	0.333	1.2	4.4	6.33	6.73	9.33	10.7	11.1	12.7	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.1	3.2	21	59	110	130	160	190	240	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.8	5.3	7.3	9.6	9.9	11	12	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.1	3.4	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	2.8	52	130	230	220	200	200	230	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	160	800	4100	<i>46e-2/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.9	4	5.3	8.1	7.8	8.6	9.8	12	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.1	3.1	3.6	4.8	4.4	5	5.5	6.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1.2	54	25	31	33	32	35	40	42	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.1	3.9	11	17	24	25	28	34	40	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.3	7.3	12	24	41	69	97	150	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	4.1	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	2.9	17	16	16	12	11	11	10	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	3	2.9	4.4	6.4	6.3	6.8	8.1	9.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	2.5	8.2	15	23	20	19	20	20	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1.4	2.3	2	240	1600	<i>96e-7/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.5	2.8	2	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.2	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.5	3	3	3.5	4.7	4.4	4.5	5.2	6.2	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.7	7.7	18	39	44	56	71	97	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.9	7.7	30	110	130	180	230	300	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	1.9	16	360	1.1e4	2.7e5	1.3e6	<i>17e-4/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.1	2.8	4.4	6.5	5.9	6.9	7.7	9.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1.8	1.1	1	1	1	1.2	1.5	1.7	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	8.5	10	12	11	11	12	13	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 2: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS [15]		1	1	3.2	1.3	54	83	120	150	170	190	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.1	2.5	5.1	5.7	6.5	7.7	9.3	9.9	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]		1	1.9	3.6	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.2	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{cG} [9]		1	1.1	2.6	1.7	110	100	190	180	210	180	BayEDA _{cG} [9]
BFGS [22]		1	6.1	140	1500	7200	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		1	1.5	2.5	3.2	4.6	5.5	6.5	8	8.3	9.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		1	6.5	36	29	32	3.4	4	4.6	4.8	5.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]		1	1	1	11	15	17	21	27	29	33	DASA [18]
DEFSO [11]		1	1	1	1	11	15	30	63	82	120	DEFSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]		1	1.1	2.5	8.2	11	15	30	63	82	120	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]		1	1	3.1	1.7	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]		1	1	2.9	14	14	12	10	10	9.3	8.1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		1	1	2.2	3	3.2	4.2	5.5	6.6	7.1	7.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain [19]		1	1.1	2.8	10	12	16	19	19	19	17	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]		1	1	1	1	1.4	38	140	1100	5800	<i>26e-6/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]		1	1.5	5.9	5.7	5.4	7.2	6.8	8.1	9.1	10	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]		1	1.1	3.8	3.1	2.7	3.2	3.6	4.2	4.3	4.7	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]		1	1	2.5	6.8	15	26	37	53	64	80	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]		1	1	2.5	7.7	19	73	110	160	180	230	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]		1	1.1	1.5	11	320	5700	3e5	<i>20e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		1	1	3.8	3.5	3.9	4.9	5.1	6.2	6.6	7.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]		1	1.1	1	1.5	1	1.2	1.4	1.6	2	2.2	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]		1	1	2.6	9.9	9.9	9.4	9.5	10	10	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 3: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.333	0.333	1.2	4.33	6.38	6.38	6.38	6.58	7.73	14.2	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1	2.6	19	71	120	200	280	2e3	2.5e5	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	4.9	6.4	7.6	13	57	91	340	620	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.9	3.3	1.7	1.6	2	5.6	9.2	10	9	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA-cG	1	1	2.2	17	140	310	320	510	440	330	BayEDA-cG [9]
BFGS	1	1.3	3.5	3.7	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.1	1.2	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.3	5.1	4	5.3	7.8	12	14	15	12	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	3.2	4.9	7.8	25	40	66	170	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	5.1	59	33	49	130	440	2800	1.6e4	1e6	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1.9	12	17	28	64	110	120	190	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.9	6.7	11	21	100	730	8e3	<i>69e-7/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.5	1.6	1.3	1.3	2	3.2	2.8	1.8	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.9	12	14	17	20	32	35	33	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.6	3.5	4.4	6.9	9.3	12	220	810	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	3.5	7.8	13	23	34	36	35	25	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1.3	2	2.1	2.1	36	87	86	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	3.4	2.1	2.6	3.4	4.8	5.9	5.8	9.8	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.1	3.3	2.8	3.7	7.2	14	70	160	880	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	2.5	7.5	18	58	340	1600	2.3e4	<i>19e-6/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO-Bounds	1	1.1	2.3	6.1	31	95	250	4800	2.8e4	<i>14e-6/1e5</i>	PSO-Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	3.4	18	320	1.2e4	5.3e5	<i>14e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.5	4.2	5.1	7.6	10	12	13	9.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	2.3	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	9.4	12	14	16	19	20	13	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 4: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1.6	4.8	2.3	11	9.1	21	29	37	46	62	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.6	2.8	3.7	1.9	1.3	1.6	1.8	2	2.2	2.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.5	1	1	3.2	2.6	3.3	3.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	2.5	3.1	8.4	19	140	<i>76e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	160	190	3600	<i>28e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.5	2.1	3.6	3.6	2.2	2.7	3	3.2	3.4	3.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.9	1.5	2.2	2.8	1.9	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	44	18	30	23	48	140	320	660	2900	<i>36e-7/1e6</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	4.8	6.3	9.9	4.3	4.9	9.2	44	140	<i>28e-4/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3	3.1	8	14	17	33	44	60	78	110	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.1	2.1	1.9	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2	6.8	11	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.8	1.6	2.6	14	5	5	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.9	3.7	6.5	2.8	3.1	3.4	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.5	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1.2	1.1	7.9	39	560	<i>30e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2.8	1.1	1.1	1	2.7	8.2	18	28	34	40	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	3.6	1.8	2.1	2.7	6.6	20	37	180	510	2900	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.3	2.6	7.1	5.8	6.5	15	26	42	60	100	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.2	2.6	11	12	45	270	390	780	800	860	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.7	7.4	53	180	2700	<i>43e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4	2.3	2.9	1.8	5.4	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.5	1.4	2.1	7.8	13	55	110	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.5	7.2	7.5	2.5	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.9	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 5: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	4.2	7.7	28	7.3	4.7	2.9	4.5	6.4	8	11	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.7	2.5	3.2	5.2	2.8	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.7	1.8	1.8	1.1	1.6	3.2	8.2	35	75	<i>20e-4/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	3.5	3.3	26	22	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	160	130	910	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.2	2.7	4.1	1.5	2.5	1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.7	1.6	2.1	2.1	3.4	1.9	4.9	7.8	9.6	10	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	69	24	22	23	34	32	94	300	710	4400	DASA [18]
DEPSO	4.3	5	7.7	1.8	2.5	2.1	8.6	27	<i>71e-4/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.2	3.9	6.9	8.8	9.1	6.1	9	12	16	23	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.6	1.7	1.4	1.8	3	4.7	6.7	14	21	45	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2.5	3.7	11	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.5	1.5	2.1	7.4	3.7	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.1	5.3	7.1	2.3	11	4.8	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.4	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	6.7	37	100	<i>57e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	5	2.2	1.5	1	1.9	2	7.5	21	69	70	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	6.1	3.2	2.8	2.4	3.9	5	12	38	100	1500	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	4.4	2.7	10	74	29	13	20	25	31	42	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.7	5.5	14	69	27	51	54	74	79	84	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2.2	7.8	50	130	1400	6300	<i>45e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	5.1	2.7	2.8	5.4	5.2	1.8	2	2	2	2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	3.8	2	1.8	2.5	10	11	10	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.5	8	7.9	18	8.5	3.4	3.7	4.5	5.7	8.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 6: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1.4	6.87	9.6	22.8	52	148	253	276	431	482	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	5.3	21	29	37	25	55	240	1900	<i>37e-7/2e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.9	2	3.7	18	30	34	31	32	27	43	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	3.1	1.1	1.1	2.4	1.9	1	2.1	3.3	5	7.5	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	3.8	3.8	7.5	32	<i>73e-2/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	18	7	12	18	23	13	8	7.6	7.6	7.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	4.6	1.9	3	7.1	6.9	3.3	2.1	2.2	1.5	1.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1.7	1.1	1.9	5.5	6.1	6.2	7.2	11	19	97	DASA [18]
DEPSO	21	12	14	150	190	270	540	6600	<i>10e-5/1e6</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	4.6	3.2	11	12	34	200	<i>38e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.9	3.1	7.6	44	69	52	460	1600	3300	<i>97e-5/1e5</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	4.2	1.3	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1.4	1.6	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.2	4	9.4	6.8	3.8	2.1	1.3	2.5	3.7	16	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.5	1.5	2.4	37	41	24	22	31	25	51	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	3.4	4.4	6.4	8.3	7	3.4	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.6	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	23	230	810	980	<i>40e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	3	1	1	3.3	5.6	4.4	7.4	14	13	33	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	3.4	2.3	2.1	3.5	24	32	100	240	1200	3e4	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2.2	3.6	8	14	230	910	1700	5400	<i>14e-3/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.9	7.9	43	33	170	620	480	1500	<i>17e-4/1e5</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.9	1.8	2.9	7.5	7.1	3	1.9	1.8	1.2	1.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	3.7	1.6	1.9	3.6	63	160	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.9	6.7	7.1	8.1	5.6	2.3	1.5	1.5	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 7: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		1	1.1	2.1	4.3	9	13	14	16	16	18	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	1.7	1.6	7.8	5.8	4.6	9.5	8	6.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	1	1.7	2.0	4.5	1.20	360	590	<i>16e-3/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1	1	2.3	4.2	4	6.2	6.8	6.9	7.1	7.4	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		1	1	87	310	<i>10e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	4	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1	1.7	9.8	13	16	19	32	61	140	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	1.5	280	560	1400	5e3	2.6e4	9.2e4	<i>24e-4/8e5</i>	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1.2	2.4	3.2	2.5	3.5	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.6	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1.1	1.6	2.2	6.1	23	32	36	38	42	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	1	25	21	40	85	130	350	610	<i>28e-4/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1	1.6	4.8	6.5	11	22	38	31	<i>66e-4/700</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	3.4	16	14	23	16	15	12	11	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1.2	1.6	3	4	5.8	5.8	5.6	5	4.6	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	2.4	1	13	81	670	<i>16e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	1.1	12	29	61	65	150	530	<i>57e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	1.1	13	17	14	18	27	64	180	780	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1.1	1.2	1.5	2	4.3	5.4	5.9	6.2	7.2	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1.1	1	2.9	5.4	10	14	18	20	22	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1.1	2	4.3	58	980	2.2e4	<i>16e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1	58	8	5	3.9	3.2	4.2	4.2	3.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1	2.5	3.6	5.9	7.8	8.8	12	15	35	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1	1.6	2.3	1.8	1.6	4.2	3.5	3.1	2.8	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 8: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.333	1.93	32.8	655	1840	3610	5060	5730	10700	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS	1	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.7	2.1	2.7	3.1	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	45	11	9.2	13	15	19	32	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	120	82	130	<i>39e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.1	2.4	25	<i>64e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	38	32	<i>92e-2/800</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	36	7.5	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	72	21	7.2	25	<i>42e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	11	510	350	230	660	3400	<i>99e-4/8e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	4.4	6	6	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.5	1.9	1	2.2	2.6	5.1	7.2	6.6	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	15	82	180	50	<i>31e-2/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	2.1	4.8	2.6	10	<i>92e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	92	57	20	17	16	32	44	100	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.9	2.8	1	1.4	1.5	2	5	20	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	3.2	3.9	8.8	130	<i>37e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	110	56	36	<i>41e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	24	39	13	43	280	<i>53e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1	1	13	12	11	11	23	42	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.2	2.6	1	22	35	45	41	64	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	3.1	2.7	36	370	<i>92e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	180	44	7	6	3.7	30	<i>91e-5/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.5	4.2	4	13	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.6	69	10	8.4	13	22	150	490	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 9: 03-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.1	2.1	1.3	15	36	630	1.3e4	1.4e5	<i>4.2e-6/2e6</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGA M IDEA	1	1.1	3.3	38	9.3	25	52	92	120	210	AMaLGA M IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	3.4	7.6	5.9	8.9	17	58	110	260	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA cG	1	1	2.4	27	36	25	21	25	21	36	BayEDA cG [9]
BFGS	1	9.3	39	8.7	2.1	1.3	1	1	1	1	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	2.3	1.2	1.5	1.6	2.4	2.4	3.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.9	5.5	2.6	47	410	<i>18e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	3.1	200	400	1700	1.1e4	2e5	<i>63e-4/9e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	3.1	6.4	4.2	7	14	76	350	<i>15e-5/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	2	3.8	3	110	1400	<i>83e-5/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	6.4	2.1	1.4	1.6	1.7	2.2	1.7	1.7	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.2	4.5	10	3.7	4.6	25	<i>39e-4/500</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGA M IDEA	1	1.1	2.5	2	8.1	18	47	79	140	490	iAMaLGA M IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	3.3	5.4	3.1	5.8	10	20	26	56	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	18	81	110	150	160	190	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.3	5.3	6.2	6.4	12	34	150	180	<i>17e-5/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.8	1.9	3.3	27	380	2200	8.2e4	<i>25e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	2.2	8.4	12	290	2900	7300	1.8e4	<i>19e-4/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.2	3.7	12	3100	2.2e4	2.3e4	<i>18e-3/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.6	9.5	100	2500	4.8e4	<i>19e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	1.8	1	1	1.7	2.4	2.5	3.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFFT	1	1.1	2.6	1.1	2.5	17	60	380	<i>31e-4/2e3</i>	.	SNOBFFT [17]
VNS (García)	1	1	2.6	5.9	2.4	2.1	2.4	3.1	3	4.2	VNS (García) [10]

Table 10: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	1.44	8.44	18.7	307	2090	6820	11800	20400	20700	21200	ERT_{best}/D
110 Rosenbrock Gauss												
ALPS	2.3	3.9	3.9	9.5	2.6	1	1.2	1	1.2	1.6	2.5	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.7	1.8	2.2	2.2	8.4	33	26	16	9.1	9.1	8.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	18	18	15	15	6.6	8.4	<i>34e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	2.3	3	7.8	4.8	4.8	14	4.2	<i>60e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	50	59	220	220	<i>19e+0/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.2	1.5	2	2	3	5.6	2.9	1.7	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	8.1	5.8	6.7	6.7	2.5	2.2	3.7	13	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	410	230	270	270	110	170	180	240	630	<i>43e-4/9e5</i>	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	6.9	4.4	5.1	5.1	1	1.9	1	2.5	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	2.4	2.1	3.9	3.9	4.3	43	<i>98e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	53	20	15	15	9.8	8.5	7.4	8.8	<i>20e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	4.2	3.5	6.5	6.5	1.6	1.7	<i>91e-2/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.2	1.2	1.6	1.6	8.4	8.5	11	9.8	5.8	5.8	5.7	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.2	3.8	4	4	1.1	6.5	16	9.2	11	<i>76e-3/2e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	5.9	8.6	<i>87e-3/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	23	20	24	24	9	4.3	11	<i>22e-2/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	6.2	6.3	6.8	6.8	2.7	1.6	2	4.1	7.1	63	690	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.4	2.6	5.3	5.3	25	97	59	55	32	72	<i>21e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2.4	2.1	5.6	5.6	26	73	30	35	<i>25e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2	3.2	16	16	55	200	2100	<i>32e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.8	15	7.6	7.6	3.9	9.9	6.1	3.5	3.3	3.2	6.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	3.4	1.6	2.9	2.9	4.2	3.4	3.6	<i>76e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.4	71	34	34	20	16	8.4	7.6	5.2	6.8	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 11: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT_{best}/D	3.36	17.2	58	1160	9990	25300	64900	2e5	4.76e5	4.91e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1.8	2.1	4.8	1.3	1	1	1	1	1.2	6.1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.4	1	4.2	7	16	22	9.1	9.1	30	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	52	66	55	<i>45e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.7	4.6	45	11	<i>15e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	15	12	150	<i>25e+0/600</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3	9.5	4.1	1.4	3.1	13	6.2	2.4	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	20	16	17	9.3	15	<i>89e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	310	310	350	250	210	<i>29e-2/8e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	2.1	2.1	4.2	3.4	<i>12e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	5	2.9	19	<i>15e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	79	73	96	25	<i>76e-1/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.2	1.9	4.3	3	2	<i>25e-1/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	18	32	11	13	13	12	16	15	30	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.5	2	2.4	1	1.7	8.9	<i>52e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	2.2	2.4	7.4	7.5	5.3	<i>47e-2/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	32	42	85	32	7.8	<i>74e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	17	12	11	5.3	8.7	36	<i>64e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.1	1	1.8	11	8.4	16	10	3.4	<i>87e-3/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.3	1.4	2.9	1.6	4.5	8.9	10	<i>25e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	2.4	8.9	19	80	<i>75e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.9	26	24	5.1	4.5	5.9	<i>47e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.8	1.9	8.6	6.4	<i>37e-1/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	27	46	6	6.4	8.1	9.3	16	28	<i>13e-6/9e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 12: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT_{best}/D	1.4	6.38	9.6	11.3	523	826	950	993	1040	1180	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	4.3	9.1	27	7.4	5.3	37	1400	1.2e4	<i>55e-5/2e6</i>	.	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2.5	2.5	4	12	24	63	80	89	140	170	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	2.8	1.1	2.1	2.2	1.8	9.5	85	<i>20e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	3.3	3.5	7.7	22	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	39	53	70	43	<i>11e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.8	2	3	1	1	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.9	2.1	3.3	2.6	6.4	29	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	1.4e4	<i>48e-4/1e6</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	39	59	90	61	87	1200	7e3	.	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	5.3	3.5	7.8	3.5	16	<i>42e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.5	3.1	6.1	6.4	61	1800	<i>39e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.3	1.4	2.2	1.7	5.6	13	49	<i>13e-3/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2.5	5.1	11	2	2.4	<i>30e-2/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3	1.8	2.4	4.9	51	58	74	100	140	200	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.3	4.6	6.9	1.8	2.7	6.2	18	44	95	<i>60e-5/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1.1	1	11	50	<i>12e-2/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2	1	1.3	1.7	1.4	8.7	37	73	<i>44e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	4.3	2.4	2.5	2.1	3.2	23	320	3300	<i>30e-5/1e6</i>	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.6	3.4	7.7	77	400	340	<i>20e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.7	2.9	12	7.8	170	200	1500	<i>72e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.4	10	41	160	960	8300	<i>60e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.3	1.7	2.7	2.5	1.2	1.1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.5	1.3	2.2	5.9	6.1	30	<i>17e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2.5	7.4	7.9	3.2	1.3	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 13: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	1.13	8.8	42.2	649	1030	1080	1080	1080	1140	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS		1.3	1.7	3.1	7.2	1.5	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1.5	2	1.1	1	1.9	2.1	2	2	2	1.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1.6	5.6	8.2	15	8	25	75	75	75	<i>57e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1.4	1	1.8	2.8	3.4	8.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	8	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		7.1	43	95	710	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1.2	15	3.7	2.4	2.4	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1.3	1.8	16	12	2.5	6.8	22	22	22	22	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		5.7	170	220	530	120	800	1e3	1e3	1e3	1400	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1.2	2.9	3.3	2.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1.3	2.1	2.2	3.7	2.4	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.4	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1.5	7.5	9.7	14	7.7	16	95	95	95	<i>15e-3/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1.5	2.6	1.8	3.9	2.3	4.2	<i>12e-2/400</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1.2	1.5	25	17	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1.3	1.9	1.8	3.6	2.4	2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	2.1	2.3	2.7	3.8	50	230	230	230	<i>15e-3/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1.5	14	7.7	14	5.1	12	70	70	70	<i>74e-3/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1.9	16	11	7.3	2.1	14	23	23	23	28	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1.3	1.7	1.4	3.7	1.2	10	12	12	12	11	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1.3	1.3	1.6	2.9	1.6	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.8	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1.3	1.4	3	17	28	520	1300	1300	1300	4200	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1.9	4.2	26	16	3.5	3	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFFT		1.2	1.1	1	8	4.7	6.9	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.2	SNOBFFT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	2.5	3.1	11	5.8	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 14: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	0.978	8.53	338	2090	6290	9440	9440	9440	10900	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS		1.2	2	2.4	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.4	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1.3	1.8	2.9	8.5	7.1	4.8	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	1.40	100	26	<i>11e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1.2	2	19	12	<i>18e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		3.4	34	20	34	<i>26e-1/800</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1.8	16	1.7	1.2	1.4	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1.1	18	27	5.3	12	<i>17e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		16	160	540	110	520	940	1300	1300	1300	1100	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1.3	1	3.5	2.5	4.5	4.8	3.2	3.2	3.2	<i>22e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1.3	1.7	2.8	1.1	2.6	2.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	6.3	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1.5	65	96	41	50	<i>13e-1/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1.5	1.6	2.5	1.1	2.8	<i>34e-2/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1.4	1.6	120	17	13	8.8	6	6	6	5.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1.1	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1.9	4.9	3.6	8.1	<i>93e-3/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	50	71	31	<i>11e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		20	55	25	8.1	14	46	51	51	51	230	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1.4	2.3	5	45	27	45	30	30	30	61	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	2.1	1.8	22	33	20	17	17	17	20	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1.2	2.1	1.8	2.1	12	110	130	130	130	1300	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1.2	110	27	8.5	2.3	2.1	2	2	2	2.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFFT		1.3	2.5	2.3	5.7	12	<i>75e-2/2e3</i>	SNOBFFT [17]
VNS (García)		1	2.9	53	13	9.8	7.9	13	13	13	15	VNS (García) [10]

Table 15: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
	ALPS	1.1	2.1	6.9	7.8	4	49	66	66	66	200
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.6	3.2	1	4.7	6.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	6.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.5	3.1	1.1	2.6	4.9	19	45	45	45	59	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.1	2	4.4	7.9	31	48	96	96	96	69	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	11	68	190	<i>50e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.7	3.5	2.9	1.5	2.3	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.8	2.2	4.8	4.8	4.7	30	49	49	49	78	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5	110	380	480	870	5300	1.4e4	1.4e4	1.4e4	<i>11e-3/9e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1.6	4.8	7.4	3.4	5	5.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.5	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.1	2.4	3.6	2.6	41	100	320	320	320	490	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1.9	2.6	1.4	1.8	2.7	15	26	26	26	28	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.5	1.6	3.9	4.6	3.8	31	<i>41e-3/600</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.6	2.1	12	7.1	8	10	10	10	8.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.1	2	5.8	2.6	1.9	4.6	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.6	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	3.2	48	850	<i>39e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2.4	2.8	1	4	8.3	66	220	220	220	150	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1.1	2.1	3	2.8	6.1	24	53	53	53	130	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.3	1.8	4.4	4.1	96	280	580	580	580	1e3	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.3	1.9	4.4	390	190	430	570	570	570	410	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	3.3	7.2	21	59	1700	5100	5100	5100	<i>32e-4/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.7	3	2.9	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.2	1.9	2	5.3	11	85	81	81	81	58	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	3	6.9	2.5	2.2	1.9	2	2	2	1.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 16: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	6.2	25.3	56.2	881	1470	2050	2380	3150	3200	3790	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1.8	2.5	9.4	1.6	1.7	2.9	3.9	5.3	6	9.2	14	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.6	1.2	1	1.7	1.4	1.4	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	19	24	65	28	<i>30e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.9	7.8	24	6.9	9.5	<i>49e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	35	36	300	<i>73e+0/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.5	3.1	8.8	2.4	3.4	3.4	3	3.1	2.4	2.4	2.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	6.2	6	16	6.7	19	19	72	<i>23e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	140	190	530	280	2800	2800	<i>19e-2/9e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1.7	2.6	4.9	1.1	2.3	4.5	6.1	6.1	<i>15e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.7	2.6	17	3.5	30	30	61	170	130	130	110	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	32	17	35	14	68	68	49	<i>13e-1/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2.2	2.5	7.2	2.8	3.5	3.5	5.7	4.9	<i>21e-1/700</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.1	1	5.3	1	1	1.1	1.1	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.8	1.9	8.3	2.1	5.3	37	8.1	14	11	13	29	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1.8	1.5	4.2	5.3	37	<i>26e-2/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	12	18	45	41	50	50	<i>49e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	11	10	19	7.8	23	23	70	410	<i>92e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.4	1.5	280	100	120	150	150	600	460	450	390	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.7	1.8	290	100	110	110	100	280	<i>31e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2.5	7	48	87	1300	1300	<i>13e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	54	22	22	3.6	3	3	2.6	2.3	1.8	1.7	1.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2	3	14	13	8	8	5.8	<i>24e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	4.7	43	10	13	13	11	15	16	17	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 17: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δ ftarget	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ ftarget
	ERT _{best} /D											ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1.5	1.8	1	1	1	1.2	3.2	4.8	9.7	30	450	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	2	16	5.8	5.8	2.6	2.4	4.5	5.5	7.9	13	23	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	66	48	33	33	<i>44e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	2.5	12	36	36	<i>44e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	12	7.1	11	11	<i>52e+0/600</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	18	6.4	2.9	2.9	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	27	6.3	6	6	5.1	<i>28e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	310	110	85	85	160	440	<i>49e-2/8e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	3.3	3.2	4.7	4.7	6.1	<i>12e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.5	1.1	2.5	2.5	8.9	17	75	69	61	<i>64e-2/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	62	22	22	22	<i>17e+0/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.6	<i>11e+0/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	20	19	5.8	5.8	2.6	3	4.5	6.9	7.6	8.8	16	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.8	2.2	1.5	1.5	1.7	2.9	5.8	11	<i>28e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	2	1.1	2	2	8.4	18	<i>19e-1/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	46	27	96	96	<i>23e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	24	9	7.2	7.2	7.1	40	170	<i>43e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2	1	13	13	16	<i>32e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2.4	1.7	47	47	25	22	36	34	61	<i>17e-1/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.4	1.4	3	3	10	360	<i>17e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	57	13	4.2	4.2	3.7	11	8	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.3	1.7	2.2	2.2	<i>98e-1/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	30	7.4	7.4	3.3	8.6	27	140	920	2500	<i>19e-5/9e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 18: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	6.8	36.3	19	68.2	237	284	336	392	428	531	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	ALPS [15]	3.6	20	1.4	19	1.3	280	4100	<i>83e-5/2e6</i>	.	.	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1.4	3.6	1.3	1	4.8	6.5	8.4	12	20	41	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [23]	1	1.4	1	5	5.4	26	77	<i>43e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	BayEDAeG [9]	2.8	30	110	130	<i>15e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	BFGS [22]	37	89	60	220	<i>37e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	2.8	7.3	4.6	5.1	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1.7	4.5	3.1	5.1	7.1	100	<i>14e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	DASA [18]	36	240	250	1600	4300	1.7e4	<i>60e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	DEPSO [11]	3.5	10	8.1	18	22	<i>21e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [5]	2.8	9.8	25	45	160	490	2e3	<i>12e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1	2.7	5.8	18	140	<i>58e-4/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [20]	4.1	12	3.3	7.5	1.8	13	<i>27e-3/400</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1.7	2.9	1	4	4	5.4	20	30	51	84	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [19]	2.3	7	4.8	6.9	4.2	5.8	14	22	32	61	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [16]	2.5	7.4	6.3	76	510	<i>25e-2/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [23]	1.3	1.4	1.7	3.7	6.5	43	110	<i>19e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	4.2	7.3	11	20	52	230	3800	1.8e4	<i>93e-5/1e6</i>	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	PSO [6]	2.1	6.3	10	760	1200	4900	4200	<i>42e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [7]	2.4	7.8	12	1e3	850	1500	4400	<i>68e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	1.9	18	69	1e3	2e4	<i>16e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1.9	7.8	6.5	5.1	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	SNOBFIT [17]	1.6	6.2	9.2	58	99	<i>15e-1/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [10]	1.3	6.8	3.1	2.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 19: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{119} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT _{best} /D	0.333	0.733	22.1	140	203	668	1890	4010	5240	ERT _{best} /D	Δf_{target}
ALPS	1	1.3	2.4	2.7	3.9	6.3	3.4	2.3	3.3	58	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.7	19	3.3	2.6	4.5	2.1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	23	8.2	16	51	<i>1.3e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.1	2	5.8	2.4	3.5	2.3	5.2	<i>4.7e-5/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	5	90	93	310	<i>69e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.3	2.5	1	1	1.2	1.5	2.5	2.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	12	11	6.9	11	21	18	<i>24e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	44	280	460	410	2700	1.9e4	<i>58e-4/9e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	2.1	2.4	1.4	2.2	1	1.8	<i>33e-6/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.5	1	2.6	14	7.9	4.1	2.5	130	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1.9	3.9	7.6	18	41	150	<i>87e-4/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.3	2.1	1.8	2.8	7.3	6.4	<i>56e-3/600</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.3	11	4.8	13	5.1	3	1.7	2.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.2	2.4	1.6	2.2	3.4	1.6	1.2	1.5	42	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	6.6	1.3	3.8	91	350	130	<i>94e-4/2e4</i>	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	2.2	13	18	27	120	110	<i>31e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	2.4	12	5.2	5.1	22	30	110	420	<i>11e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.2	1.6	1.4	2.4	2.4	2	6.2	24	280	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.4	1.8	2.5	7.1	4.8	7.4	16	130	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.4	2.6	33	990	<i>35e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	2.1	3.9	17	3.7	3.9	2.7	1.9	1.2	1.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	2.2	2.6	3.1	5.8	8.5	6.2	6.2	<i>38e-4/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	27	4.7	4.7	3.3	3	4.1	110	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 20: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{120} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	0.733	26.8	601	2310	10600	25300	40100	88600	ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	1	1.3	2.4	2.3	2.1	3.4	2.1	3	11	18e-7/2e6	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.2	2.5	38	20	17	12	24	76	28e-6/1e6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	24	120	66	40	<i>36e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	1.9	20	47	<i>43e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	6.1	48	50	<i>10e-1/900</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	22	10	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	2.3	47	23	8.9	<i>53e-3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	2.6	260	440	330	5400	<i>47e-3/8e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.2	2.2	2.8	6.4	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.9	74	2.4	6	4.6	12	<i>19e-5/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	3.1	140	74	85	<i>25e-2/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.6	2.3	4.9	8.1	<i>13e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.2	56	21	15	17	48	110	160	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.2	2.4	1.3	1	2.1	1.5	<i>57e-5/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	6.6	4.3	6.5	31	<i>38e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.7	120	62	62	<i>42e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1.4	34	18	13	90	660	<i>25e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.1	1.9	1	21	15	8.4	12	37	58e-5/1e5	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.3	2.3	270	43	20	13	18	36	12e-4/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.7	2	7.1	150	<i>44e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	180	32	9.4	4.7	4.5	<i>61e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.3	1.9	6.5	4.2	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	130	17	16	17	89	770	16e-6/9e6	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 21: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	0.333	0.733	13.5	38.6	83.4	233	500	766	1110	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1.2	1.9	1.3	110	1500	2.3e4	27e-5/2e6				ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.2	1.3	8	25	36	54	140			AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.3	2.9	3.5	5.3	27	320	<i>47e-4/5e3</i>				avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	2.5	4.4	25	14	8.7	<i>31e-5/2e3</i>				BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	60	21	30	60	100	<i>12e-3/3e3</i>				BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	1	1	1	1.5	1.9	2.5			BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.9	1.8	7.2	47	290	<i>44e-4/1e4</i>					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	15	270	1900	4.3e4	<i>25e-3/9e5</i>						DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	2.3	5	6	23	58	<i>12e-4/2e3</i>				DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.3	2.2	1.8	15	630	<i>51e-4/1e5</i>					EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	2.2	4.2	2.3	3.4	6.8	88	<i>18e-4/6e3</i>				full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.4	3.7	5.5	29	<i>12e-3/800</i>					GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	3.1	1.9	7.6	20	25	78	220			iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	1.7	2.1	3.8	5.7	10	18	<i>43e-6/2e4</i>			MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	2.1	1.4	45	2800	<i>22e-3/2e4</i>					MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.2	3.2	3.2	7.7	43	<i>62e-4/5e3</i>					NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.1	5	1.4	7.9	46	640	<i>24e-5/1e6</i>				(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.1	2.8	1.4	780	6300	<i>20e-4/1e5</i>					PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.2	2.4	2.1	210	2200	<i>16e-3/1e5</i>					PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	2.4	3.7	100	2200	<i>31e-4/1e6</i>					Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	4.8	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.1			IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	2.3	1	8.5	32	<i>10e-3/2e3</i>					SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	2.7	2.2	1.8	1.2	1	1.1			VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 22: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	1.93	1	119	601	3.4	2530	3460	4080	6340	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS		1	1.2	1	3	3.6	3.4	3.2	3.5	4.9	12	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.2	2	2.2	5.2	3.2	2.7	2.7	2.4	3.8	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	2.1	13	22	<i>37e-2/6e3</i>						avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1	1.4	1.4	2.1	3.1	<i>67e-3/2e3</i>					BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		1	44	43	<i>21e-1/3e3</i>							BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1.1	28	2.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1	18	10	35	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	48	64	390	1e4	<i>21e-2/8e5</i>					DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1.6	3.7	2	2.4	6.8	<i>23e-3/2e3</i>				DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1.2	1.9	3.1	5.4	4.6	6.9	8.5	11	11	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	2.1	14	12	<i>32e-2/7e3</i>						full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1.1	3	3.1	25	<i>52e-2/1e3</i>					GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.5	1.6	5.1	12	10	6.9	7.4	6.9	6.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1.5	1.5	1.6	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.6	3.8	<i>15e-7/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	1	1.7	84	<i>16e-2/2e4</i>					MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	1.1	8.5	21	120	<i>65e-2/5e3</i>					NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	1.5	12	8.4	50	1300	5600	<i>12e-3/1e6</i>			(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1.1	1.1	1	27	29	26	29	28	51	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1.2	2.2	130	120	67	50	48	45	72	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1.3	1	6.6	1e3	<i>79e-3/1e6</i>					Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1.4	57	3.2	3.6	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.4	3.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1.2	2.2	3	5.6	17	9.9	<i>27e-2/2e3</i>			SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1	1.8	8.9	9.4	9	11	28	160	2600	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 23: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D												ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1.2	0.333	1.64	515	7280	4	220	<i>12e-3/2e6</i>	43100	63600	1.47e5	ALPS [15]
AMaLgAM IDEA	1	1.2	1.2	2.7	32	21	420	<i>20e-3/1e6</i>					AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.2	12	120	33	<i>16e-1/6e3</i>							avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.1	2.4	55	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>								BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	5.3	27	23	<i>22e-1/900</i>								BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	32	1.4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.6	29	8.5	<i>60e-2/1e4</i>								(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	6.9	300	88	1700	<i>24e-2/8e5</i>							DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.3	1.3	5.5	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>								DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	2.5	2.2	17	<i>88e-3/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	15	140	47	<i>14e-1/7e3</i>								full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	<i>59e-2/1e3</i>								GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	1	1.5	1	18	150	<i>19e-3/1e6</i>							iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	1.7	1.1	7.2	<i>12e-2/2e4</i>							MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	4.2	3.2	<i>43e-2/2e4</i>								MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	12	130	20	<i>12e-1/5e3</i>								NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	14	36	6.6	110	<i>72e-3/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.2	2.4	31	88	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>							PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	1.3	2	15	20	<i>11e-2/1e5</i>							PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.1	1.3	1.9	1	94	<i>79e-3/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	15	9.1	<i>37e-2/1e4</i>								IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.1	1.1	1.9	1.9	<i>77e-2/2e3</i>								SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.1	11	570	2100	79e-4/9e6						VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 24: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	0.333	1.2	65.2	309	1140	2360	3100	4300	4960	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS		1	1.2	2	4.7	27	2.1e4	<i>17e-3/2e6</i>				ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.2	2	1	3.9	4.8	10	29	54	160	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	1.5	11	7.7	59	<i>18e-2/5e3</i>					avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1	1.4	2.8	1.4	2.9	1.9	<i>34e-4/2e3</i>				BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		1	14	94	81	<i>10e-1/3e3</i>						BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1.1	2.5	1.5	1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	2.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1	17	4.1	34	<i>77e-3/1e4</i>					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	5.7	330	250	4.1e4	<i>19e-2/9e5</i>					DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1.2	3.8	2.2	4	<i>34e-3/2e3</i>					DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1.1	1.2	2	1.4	230	<i>47e-3/1e5</i>					EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	3	11	2.6	17	<i>92e-3/6e3</i>					full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1.4	1.9	3.6	<i>30e-2/600</i>						GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.1	2.2	3.8	11	11	19	52	110	180	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1.5	2.4	1.5	2.7	58	89	<i>36e-3/2e4</i>			MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	1	6.6	170	<i>15e-2/2e4</i>					MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	1.5	5.6	13	36	<i>14e-2/5e3</i>					NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	1.5	10	2.6	29	1900	<i>12e-3/1e6</i>				(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1.4	2.1	1.6	360	<i>84e-3/1e5</i>					PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1.1	2.3	3.9	730	<i>26e-2/1e5</i>					PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1.1	3.3	11	4500	<i>96e-3/1e6</i>					Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1.3	4.3	3.4	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1.1	2	1.7	76	<i>23e-2/2e3</i>					SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1	2.9	1.1	4	14	12	52	260	890	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 25: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss												
	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	0.333	0.333	0.333	0.333	1.290	8230	11700	12100	12700	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	ALPS [15]	1	1	1.1	9.5	520	1.1	1.4	1.6	1.7	2.7	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1	1.2	6.7	100	4.3	2.9	2.1	2	1.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1.4	9.3	330	1.8	3	6.9	6.7	<i>40e-4/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	BayEDAacG [9]	1	1	1	9.6	310	2.3	<i>92e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	BFGS [22]	1	1	6.3	170	1.2e4	<i>85e-3/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	1	1	1.1	9.3	590	1.8	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	1	1.3	76	770	3.9	5.2	5.9	12	<i>48e-4/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	DASA [18]	1	1	14	1900	1.4e4	140	330	510	990	<i>28e-4/9e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	DEPSO [11]	1	1	1.6	11	540	1.9	3.6	<i>67e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [5]	1	1	1.2	9.5	280	2.6	4	12	20	52	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [23]	1	1	2.1	11	280	1.2	3.7	8.4	<i>25e-4/7e3</i>	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [20]	1	1	1.2	8.3	420	2	2.2	<i>13e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1	1.1	7.7	1500	6.7	6.3	7.2	7	7.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [19]	1	1	1.1	7.8	240	1.5	1.2	1.7	2.8	5.7	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [16]	1	1	1	1	1	1.9	<i>20e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [23]	1	1	2.8	10	330	1	2.8	2	1.9	<i>19e-4/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	1	1	2.1	68	730	3.5	7.9	22	30	240	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	PSO [6]	1	1	1.2	16	440	10	36	57	55	52	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [7]	1	1	1.1	9.1	750	9.8	6.3	7.2	11	34	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	1	1	1	12	710	17	51	1200	<i>31e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1	1	1	11	510	3.6	18	13	12	<i>37e-4/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	SNOBFIT [17]	1	1	1.3	15	350	2.4	<i>17e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [10]	1	1	1.4	23	230	5.1	5.9	7.8	11	22	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 26: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	0.333	0.333	0.333	0.333	4500	37700	1.11e5	2.08e5	3.2e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	1.1	8	650	1.3	3.4	3.4	2.3	5.7	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.3	8	4800	4.2	6.4	40	67	<i>21e-5/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	1.7	260	6200	<i>49e-3/6e3</i>					avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	1.3	12	5700	6.3	<i>95e-3/2e3</i>				BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	3.9	67	5200	<i>10e-2/900</i>					BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	16	970	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	160	1500	4.7	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>				(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	9.3	660	2.6e4	130	<i>50e-4/8e5</i>				DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	1.3	12	1500	3.3	<i>23e-3/2e3</i>				DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	1.2	9.7	910	4.4	8.5	6.4	<i>15e-4/1e5</i>		EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	16	250	1.1e4	<i>63e-3/7e3</i>					full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1.1	7.9	520	<i>34e-3/1e3</i>					GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	16	3600	3.2	12	15	33	45	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1.1	8.9	270	1	5.9	2	<i>25e-4/2e4</i>		MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1	5.1	<i>10e-3/2e4</i>				MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	13	270	8600	17	<i>48e-3/5e3</i>				NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	1.3	68	1400	5	17	28	72	<i>55e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1.3	6.5	760	5.7	38	13	<i>43e-4/1e5</i>		PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1	1.1	12	4.6e4	1.3	8.3	13	7.2	<i>27e-4/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	1	8.9	650	4.6	20	71	71	<i>28e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	13	3200	5.7	4	1.4	<i>14e-3/1e4</i>		IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	1.1	13	1100	1.6	<i>29e-3/2e3</i>				SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	1.4	23	8200	9.6	19	17	23	130	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 27: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy												
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}	
ERT_{best}/D	0.333	0.333	0.333	0.333	0.333	1170	13000	15000	15100	15300	ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	1	1	1	1.3	490	8.1	19	94	770	<i>57e-6/2e6</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	8.9	140	10	4.3	7.6	13	26	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	11	290	4.5	<i>61e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAacG	1	1	1.3	9.9	230	1	2.3	2	<i>72e-4/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAacG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	1	190	5100	<i>51e-3/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	8.9	120	1.3	1	1.4	1.5	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.5	11	920	20	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	20	870	2.3e4	560	920	800	<i>62e-4/8e5</i>	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	1.5	11	310	3.5	2.3	<i>11e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.5	9	540	29	55	<i>30e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	13	270	2.4	2	<i>44e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	7.7	640	14	<i>44e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	11	2300	9.8	11	16	28	60	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	8.9	150	3.2	8.3	15	<i>36e-4/2e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	7.3	<i>47e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	18	280	1.6	2.3	4.3	4.2	<i>61e-4/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.1	48	1e3	12	27	160	460	<i>19e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.1	12	1400	36	<i>46e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.3	10	2.2e4	58	35	47	<i>67e-4/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	13	590	20	61	450	450	<i>74e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	10	420	3	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	8.9	900	4.7	<i>29e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	23	290	20	12	20	23	24	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 28: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS		1	1	1.3	1	1.1	1.5	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.8	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	1.4	7.9	21	19	13	9.5	9.7	6.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	1	4.3	10	19	25	18	17	45	<i>45e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{cG}		1	1	1.5	3	7.1	33	47	<i>88e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDA _{cG} [9]
BFGS		1	1	56	36	55	<i>12e-1/9e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	2.3	11	14	15	11	7.6	7.7	4.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1	1.4	3	2.3	3.1	2.9	3.5	4.7	3.9	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	1	80	90	100	140	160	270	340	960	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1	1.7	3.9	4	3.7	2.7	2	2.1	1.4	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1	1.5	52	43	37	26	19	20	13	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	1	9.9	5.9	4.1	6.1	4.5	4.3	6.2	35	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1	1.4	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	2.1	22	23	21	17	12	12	7.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1	1	1.1	1.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	2	1.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	4.8	1.4	1.3	3.9	5.5	22	59	<i>77e-6/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	1	1.7	7	9.1	14	35	84	<i>89e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	1	4	5.6	3.7	4.1	3.9	3	3.2	5.3	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1	1.6	110	76	67	47	34	34	22	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1	1.4	110	68	58	41	30	30	20	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1	2.1	1	2.5	18	49	190	1200	<i>84e-7/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1	2.8	8.4	13	11	8.1	6.1	6.1	4.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1	1.9	2	1.5	2.3	2.6	2.6	3.1	8.3	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1	2.2	29	26	22	15	11	11	7.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 29: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.333	0.333	1.6	121	1130	2620	3860	8260	9450	12800	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	1	2.4	1	1.1	1.4	1	1	1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.4	38	18	22	24	15	14	10	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	51	34	12	15	21	9.9	<i>23e-2/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.8	15	25	25	<i>61e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	26	9.1	9.1	5.4	<i>88e-2/900</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.3	5.8	1.8	1.8	1.1	1	3.1	3.5	2.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	16	4.1	7.5	4.1	8.5	18	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	93	160	91	86	140	140	320	640	<i>20e-5/8e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	2.1	3.9	3.3	5.4	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.5	1.8	17	18	18	18	13	12	9.3	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	150	44	16	18	18	12	<i>50e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	1.6	1.2	2.5	<i>50e-3/1e3</i>	7.8	6.7	6	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.7	56	7.5	5.8	5.8	1.7	1.8	1.9	7.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.7	1	1.8	2.1	4.1	10	29	25	2.5	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	6.3	3.3	3.3	2.1	4.1	10	29	25	<i>37e-4/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	56	63	21	30	30	21	<i>79e-2/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	7.2	6.2	3.1	3.1	5	5	9.7	31	200	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.8	150	61	51	41	41	26	23	22	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.8	130	60	44	44	31	19	17	13	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.7	1.6	1.1	1.7	6.8	6.8	20	150	1100	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.8	25	10	9.4	6.7	5.2	7.1	7.1	11	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	3.2	2.7	1.1	1	1.9	1.9	1.4	1.2	<i>22e-3/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	40	11	9.5	8.8	5	5	4.8	4.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 30: 03-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on $f_{1.30}$, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [15]		1	1	1.1	2.4	172	4.1	4.3	3.3	9	180	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		1	1	1.4	92	130	110	69	17	10	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]		1	1	2.6	3.9	12	8.5	11	5.6	5.7	<i>90e-5/5e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG [9]		1	1	1.4	5.7	33	27	17	3.8	7.2	6.2	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS [22]		1	1	34	33	63	<i>53e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		1	1	1.9	24	44	44	46	10	5.9	4.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		1	1	2.2	6	7	8.8	15	4.4	8.3	30	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]		1	1	41	130	230	740	790	800	1500	<i>14e-5/9e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEFSO [11]		1	1	1.4	6.1	5.6	8	6.3	2.8	1.7	<i>63e-4/2e3</i>	DEFSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]		1	1	1.7	1.4	5.6	37	98	85	180	<i>15e-5/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]		1	1	2.2	4.1	6.4	5.6	7.7	2.5	7	<i>53e-6/6e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]		1	1	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2.6	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		1	1	1	54	74	60	47	11	9	11	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain [19]		1	1	2.3	9.3	10	7.1	6.8	1.7	1	1.7	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]		1	1	2.9	3.9	7.5	9.6	19	54	62	<i>36e-5/2e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]		1	1	2	3	9.4	18	21	6.6	17	<i>86e-4/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]		1	1	2.8	3.7	2.8	4.9	5.2	5.6	7	150	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]		1	1	1.6	5.8	150	130	110	74	100	300	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]		1	1	1.9	95	390	290	240	69	160	140	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]		1	1	1.3	2.1	4.4	15	62	86	300	3e3	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		1	1	1.9	5	23	15	9.2	2	1.2	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]		1	1	1.4	4.6	5.8	12	15	<i>42e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]		1	1	2.2	83	100	61	52	11	9.9	9.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

References

- [1] Anne Auger. Benchmarking the (1+1)-ES with one-fifth success rule on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2453–2458.
- [2] Anne Auger and Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking the (1+1)-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2467–2472.
- [3] Anne Auger and Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the pure random search on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2485–2490.
- [4] Peter A. N. Bosman, Jörn Grahl, and Dirk Thierens. AMaLGaM IDEAs in noisy black-box optimization benchmarking. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2351–2358.
- [5] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using an EDA and PSO hybrid. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2263–2268.
- [6] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using particle swarm optimization. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2269–2274.
- [7] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using PSO_Bounds. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2275–2280.
- [8] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noisy functions. Technical Report 2009/21, Research Center PPE, 2009.
- [9] Marcus R. Gallagher. Black-box optimization benchmarking: results for the BayEDAcG algorithm on the noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2383–2388.
- [10] Carlos García-Martínez and Manuel Lozano. A continuous variable neighbourhood search based on specialised EAs: application to the noisy BBO-benchmark 2009 testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2367–2374.
- [11] José García-Nieto, Enrique Alba, and Javier Apolloni. Particle swarm hybridized with differential evolution: black box optimization benchmarking for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2343–2350.
- [12] N. Hansen, A. Auger, S. Finck, and R. Ros. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Experimental setup. Technical Report RR-6828, INRIA, 2009.
- [13] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noisy functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6869, INRIA, 2009.
- [14] Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking a bi-population CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2397–2402.

- [15] Gregory S. Hornby. The Age-Layered Population Structure (ALPS) evolutionary algorithm, July 2009. Noisy testbed.
- [16] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of MCS on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 988.
- [17] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of SNOBFIT on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 987.
- [18] Peter Korosec and Jurij Silc. A stigmergy-based algorithm for black-box optimization: noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2375–2382.
- [19] Daniel Molina, Manuel Lozano, and Francisco Herrera. A memetic algorithm using local search chaining for black-box optimization benchmarking 2009 for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2359–2366.
- [20] László Pál, Tibor Csendes, Mihály Csaba Markót, and Arnold Neumaier. BBO-benchmarking of the GLOBAL method for the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 985.
- [21] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking sep-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2441–2446.
- [22] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the BFGS algorithm on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2415–2420.
- [23] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the NEWUOA on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2429–2434.
- [24] Franz Rothlauf, editor. *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO 2009, Proceedings, Montreal, Québec, Canada, July 8-12, 2009, Companion Material*. ACM, 2009.