

Comparison tables: BBOB 2009 noisy testbed in 5-D

The BBOBies

November 20, 2009

Abstract

This document provides tabular results of the workshop for Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking at GECCO 2009, see <http://coco.gforge.inria.fr/doku.php?id=bbob-2009>. More than 30 algorithms have been tested on 24 benchmark functions in dimensions between 2 and 40. A description of the used objective functions can be found in [13, 8]. The experimental set-up is described in [12].

The performance measure provided in the following tables is the expected number of objective function evaluations to reach a given target function value (ERT, expected running time), divided by the respective value for the best algorithm. Consequently, the best (smallest) value is 1 and the value 1 appears in each column at least once. See [12] for details on how ERT is obtained. All numbers are computed with no more than two digits of precision.

Table 1: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.2	0.2	2.2	7.39	8.83	9.8	12.4	13.1	13.8	15	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		1	1.3	12	42	76	120	130	150	180	220	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.3	5.2	5.6	9.7	13	14	16	18	21	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	2.6	2.9	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1	1.7	6.2	23	64	89	120	120	120	130	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		1	91	740	<i>70e-1/4e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1.1	3.2	3.1	4.6	6	6.1	7.2	8	9.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1.3	2.9	2	2.6	3.2	3.3	3.9	4.3	5.4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	11	19	15	18	21	23	26	27	32	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1.3	7.5	11	16	21	23	28	31	38	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1.3	4.1	8	100	270	320	400	490	630	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	3.4	3.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1.3	11	8.1	7.7	7.4	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.4	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.3	3.3	3.4	5.1	6.8	7.1	8.5	9.6	12	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1.3	6.9	7.5	13	15	15	17	20	23	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	1	1	70	170	920	<i>82e-5/1e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	3.6	2.5	1.6	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.9	3	3.1	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	2.5	2.9	1.8	2.4	3	3.1	3.4	3.8	4.6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1.3	4.2	7.8	17	29	36	46	57	76	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1.2	4.9	13	53	110	140	190	220	330	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1.7	9.8	460	<i>1.9e5</i>	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1.9	4.2	3	4.1	4.9	5.2	5.8	6.4	7.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1.1	2	1.1	1	1	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.8	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1.6	7.4	6.8	7.5	8.2	7.9	8.6	9.1	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 2: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.2	0.2	2.21	7.07	10	13.2	14.4	15.5	17.1	19.8	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.2	6.6	51	73	92	110	130	150	170	170	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	5.7	6	7.6	8.7	10	12	13	15	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1.3	2.7	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.5	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	12	23	59	110	120	120	120	130	130	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	29	890	<i>59e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	3	4	4.3	5.1	6	6.3	7.2	7.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.1	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	3.1	3.5	3.7	4.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	61	41	23	22	23	26	30	32	38	38	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.4	7.8	9	13	15	18	22	24	28	28	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.5	4.9	8.3	96	180	270	330	390	470	470	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	3.8	3.2	1.4	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.5	8.2	8.9	6.7	5.5	5.3	5.2	5	5.1	5.1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.8	2.8	4.2	4.9	6.1	7	7.5	8.5	8.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	6.9	8.2	12	12	13	16	16	17	17	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	120	500	9700	58e-4/1e4	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	3.9	6.3	6	7	15	20	27	33	41	41	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	3.9	2.9	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.7	3.1	3.3	3.9	3.9	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.3	4	10	15	23	31	39	45	55	55	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.6	4.1	15	47	82	120	150	180	250	250	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	4.2	430	<i>1.7e5</i>	<i>11e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.1	2.9	3.2	3.6	4.1	4.8	5	5.7	5.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	2	1.2	1	1	1.3	1.5	1.7	2.1	2.1	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	7.1	6.6	6	6.2	6.6	7.2	7.5	8.1	8.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 3: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ ftarget ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	1	1.5	8.1	61	120	190	240	8300	<i>31e-6/1e6</i>	23	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.8	5	7	12	17	22	170	350	410	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.5	2.5	1.6	3.6	5.4	13	26	42	34	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA ϵ G	1	1.3	12	60	130	360	360	460	440	140	BayEDA ϵ G [9]
BFGS	1	2.6	7.5	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.3	1	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	3.5	4.7	7.4	10	13	15	17	6.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.4	2.5	4.2	6.9	29	110	360	1100	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	7.7	16	19	68	430	2700	1.3e5	1.7e6	<i>59e-6/9e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.5	12	14	25	40	70	180	410	<i>33e-7/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	4.2	11	120	470	1100	2.2e5	<i>38e-5/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	5.1	2.8	1.6	1.8	1.8	2.6	2.6	2.4	4.8	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.3	6.4	11	11	12	16	34	38	33	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.7	4.5	7.5	12	55	140	380	700	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.5	6	11	19	26	33	44	49	22	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	100	100	130	130	130	230	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	3.5	2.4	1.9	5.7	9.4	60	85	180	140	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	3	3	2.4	3.6	5.2	27	120	530	3700	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.3	3.5	8.3	26	100	1400	4.7e4	<i>33e-5/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	4.2	18	86	2800	1.5e4	<i>87e-5/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	6.2	830	2.9e5	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	3.4	3.9	5.9	8.1	9.9	13	14	5.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.5	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	3.5	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	7.5	8.6	11	13	16	19	20	7.9	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 4: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	6.3	22	18	155	257	37	40	45	48	71	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.3	3.1	2.1	3.6	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.3	2.8	1	5	7.6	14	23	21	20	24	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	6.8	8.8	21	180	<i>33e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	350	<i>61e+1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.4	2.6	1.4	1.9	2	2	2	2	1.9	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.7	2.2	1	3.7	4.1	4.8	4.4	4.1	3.9	3.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	23	27	11	35	46	88	190	870	3200	1.5e4	DASA [18]
DEPSO	7.6	7.9	4.4	10	55	<i>53e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	4.2	5.6	32	39	53	72	92	110	130	160	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1.8	3.2	1.1	1.8	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	6.4	5.3	2.2	2	3.4	3.7	3.3	3	2.9	2.6	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.1	2.3	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	5	5.6	3.6	7.1	5.1	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.1	3.8	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.5	920	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1.1	2.8	1.2	3.4	6	14	24	100	<i>14e-4/5e3</i>	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	2.2	2.6	1.1	4.3	12	25	63	230	980	9900	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	3.3	5.1	5.7	170	190	1500	<i>22e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.4	10	17	2600	5400	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	10	150	9e3	<i>64e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.4	15	5.4	14	8.7	7.7	7	6.4	6.1	5.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.4	2.1	1.3	91	<i>28e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	7.3	4.1	2	7.4	5.6	5.9	11	11	10	9	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 5: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif												
	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT _{best} /D											ERT _{best} /D
ALPS		1.3	26	22	7	5.1	4.6	6	7.8	9.4	17	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		4	3.2	2.4	11	3.3	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1.4	3.7	1.7	2.4	1.9	4.4	14	47	46	<i>4.5e-4/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{cG}		5.8	10	28	<i>37e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDA _{cG} [9]
BFGS		410	<i>82e+1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		3	2.4	1.7	3.7	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		2.3	3.2	1.5	4.4	4.1	11	34	33	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>		(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		29	36	17	16	12	22	100	240	700	6600	DASA [18]
DEPSO		6	6.2	5.3	16	14	<i>17e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		3.8	6.1	40	20	13	12	18	24	31	<i>38e-8/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		2.2	4.2	1.7	1.6	4.5	9.3	31	61	<i>22e-3/9e3</i>	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		10	4.8	2.2	1	1	<i>75e-2/200</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		2.3	1.9	1.5	8	2.8	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		6	5	3.9	58	44	33	32	31	31	30	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1.1	1	1.6	520	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	2.8	1.7	2.7	3.3	5	<i>38e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		2.6	1.8	1	1.7	1.3	4.3	16	50	170	6500	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		6.3	6.5	6.4	64	630	700	<i>70e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		3.4	6.8	15	1400	1400	700	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		8.7	160	1.4e4	<i>69e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		3	1.8	1.3	18	5	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		2.5	4	2.5	49	14	<i>32e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		8.4	68	27	42	31	24	26	25	25	24	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 6: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT _{best} /D	3.56	10.1	15.5	106	210	354	533	556	577	617	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	10	26	49	49	20	37	110	410	<i>28e-5/1e6</i>			ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4	3.7	5.3	5.3	8.8	5.3	11	12	22	28	78	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.9	1.2	1	1	1.5	2.4	5.8	9.1	30	200	<i>21e-5/8e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{cG}	4.8	11	53	53	270	140	<i>34e-1/2e3</i>					BayEDA _{cG} [9]
BFGS	13	22	23	23	54	100	210	<i>67e-2/5e3</i>				BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.9	3.4	3.9	3.2	4.3	3.2	2.3	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.3	2.7	2.5	2.5	4	8.6	22	85	<i>54e-4/1e4</i>			(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	17	26	23	23	31	48	320	1.3e4	<i>18e-4/1e6</i>			DASA [18]
DEPSO	6.1	7.2	11	11	35	140	<i>14e-1/2e3</i>					DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.8	7.6	89	89	58	6700	<i>20e-2/1e5</i>					EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2	1.4	1.2	1	1	1	1.7	3.1	7.8	18	31	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	6.7	6.5	4.6	4.6	1.3	1	1	1.4	2.7	13	<i>11e-4/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	2.3	3	3	9.1	10	11	13	23	43	140	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chaim	4.8	5.9	7.5	7.5	3.9	3.7	3.1	2.8	3.1	3.5	4.5	MA-LS-Chaim [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1.4	3.2	3.2	1300	<i>27e-1/1e4</i>						MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	2.2	5	27	59	<i>79e-4/7e3</i>			NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	2.2	1.7	1.7	1.7	6.5	17	38	260	2900	<i>10e-5/1e6</i>		(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	4	6.2	13	13	360	3100	<i>79e-2/1e5</i>					PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	6.1	17	35	35	1900	3100	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>					PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	8	150	1.6e4	1.6e4	<i>62e-1/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.1	2.2	2.7	2.7	3	2.1	1.4	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.9	2.6	3	3	18	19	40	<i>18e-1/1e3</i>				SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	8.2	5.4	5	5	11	6.3	7.7	5.2	5	4.9	4.6	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 7: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT _{best} /D	0.2	0.2	7.97	45.5	90.6	138	188	234	275	370	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS [15]		1	1.4	2.7	10	12	13	13	14	14	15	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		1	1.3	1.7	5.8	18	12	11	13	11	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]		1	2.7	68	320	<i>14e-1/6e3</i>						avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG [9]		1	1.4	2.5	6.7	7.1	7.7	7.7	10	27	<i>29e-6/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS [22]		1	12	150	<i>61e-1/2e3</i>							BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		1	1.4	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		1	1	21	42	210	<i>19e-2/1e4</i>					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]		1	110	600	3e3	3.6e4	<i>29e-2/7e5</i>					DASA [18]
DEPSO [11]		1	1.6	3.3	3.1	3.6	3.9	3.7	4.3	5.2	5.4	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]		1	1.3	1.6	11	20	27	29	30	32	34	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]		1	6.1	85	250	1400	<i>96e-2/8e3</i>					full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]		1	1.1	2.6	12	<i>77e-2/700</i>						GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		1	1.9	33	31	28	26	22	22	22	30	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain [19]		1	1.5	1.9	5	6.8	6.9	7	6.5	6	5	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]		1	1	3.9	4.2	72	<i>81e-3/1e4</i>					MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]		1	2.7	60	190	<i>17e-1/5e3</i>						NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]		1	3.3	31	59	650	6800	7.5e4	<i>50e-4/1e6</i>			(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]		1	1.3	1.3	2.6	4.3	4.8	6.6	9.1	9.8	10	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]		1	1.7	1	5.4	32	67	100	89	83	70	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]		1	1.9	4.5	48	1.3e4	<i>96e-3/1e6</i>					Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		1	1.2	5.6	10	5.6	11	11	10	9.5	8.9	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]		1	1.2	1.5	13	36	53	<i>14e-2/1e3</i>				SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]		1	1.6	2.7	8	6.3	6.7	10	9.5	8.7	9.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 8: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif													
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}		
ERT _{best} /D	0.2	0.2	17.3	1030	2890	4930	6190	8240	11700	16100	ERT _{best} /D		
ALPS	1	1.1	1.1	1	4.5	13	35	180	1200	<i>98e-6/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]		
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.7	75	12	17	20	31	73	170	450	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
avg NEWUOA	1	3	150	44	<i>27e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]		
BayEDAeG	1	1.5	18	<i>34e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]		
BFGS	1	6.6	56	<i>84e-1/900</i>	BFGS [22]		
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	6.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	33	24	15	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		
DASA	1	240	260	460	<i>65e-2/7e5</i>	DASA [18]		
DEPSO	1	1.1	2.3	9.3	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]		
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	4.9	11	41	300	<i>38e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]		
full NEWUOA	1	89	78	<i>43e-1/9e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]		
GLOBAL	1	1.2	1.4	3.6	<i>16e-1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]		
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.6	130	16	26	33	42	61	81	210	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.7	1.3	1	3.9	17	<i>29e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]		
MCS (Neum)	1	1	15	6.1	51	<i>54e-2/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]		
NEWUOA	1	48	77	64	<i>41e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]		
(1+1)-ES	1	96	24	30	830	<i>12e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]		
PSO	1	1.4	420	48	71	290	<i>54e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]		
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	410	86	96	290	230	<i>69e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]		
Monte Carlo	1	1.6	1	4.1	480	<i>98e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]		
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	100	6.7	7.6	9.7	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		
SNOBFIT	1	1.5	3.5	6.5	5	<i>25e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]		
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.6	61	11	44	450	4900	<i>39e-4/7e6</i>	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]		

Table 9: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		0.2	1.7	5.2	28	42	3500	<i>4.3e-4/1e6</i>	1.39	175	189	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.3	3.7	3.4	19	20	36	40	71	170	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	1.5	4.3	3.6	26	47	<i>6.7e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1	1.3	8.6	41	24	24	22	20	17	19	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		1	1.8	3.9	13	3.4	2.5	1.7	1.4	1.1	1	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1.6	3.5	2.2	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	2.1	2.5	6.5	25	430	<i>20e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	4.7	320	2800	4.3e4	<i>15e-2/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1.4	9.9	6.7	5.7	25	130	<i>51e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1.5	3.1	4.9	550	2e4	<i>30e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	5.6	5.6	5	6.1	13	21	28	22	20	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1.3	8.1	7	5.1	53	35	<i>4.9e-3/200</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.4	2.6	2.3	16	33	65	110	180	410	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1.5	5.8	5.9	5.1	12	20	47	72	430	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	1	12	22	32	120	300	370	340	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	1.7	4.8	13	83	880	<i>41e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	2.5	2.7	5.4	13	290	1.4e4	<i>1.3e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1.1	4.1	130	1400	2e4	<i>4.6e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1.4	3.6	640	2700	1.9e4	<i>1.3e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1.5	11	320	2.2e4	<i>88e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1.7	4.1	2.3	1	1.1	1	1	1	1.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1.5	2.4	1	3.6	16	35	100	<i>10e-3/1e3</i>	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1.6	7.3	4.2	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.8	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 10: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT_{best}/D	9.69	48	190	6720	24000	1.12e5	1.19e5	1.19e5	1.21e5	1.22e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [15]	4.5	6.2	4.4	1	1	1	1.8	3.6	8.3	38	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1.5	1	4.7	74	83	18	17	17	17	17	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]	20	34	240	<i>27e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG [9]	2.4	2.7	1.9	<i>30e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS [22]	92	<i>73e+1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	1.3	2.2	1	4.8	3.7	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	8	13	20	22	<i>52e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]	550	1e3	3600	<i>92e-1/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO [11]	2.7	2.2	1.7	1	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]	1.7	4.9	11	220	<i>23e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]	28	37	140	<i>15e+0/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]	2.7	5	9	<i>32e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1.1	5.6	4.7	9.1	46	12	17	20	20	25	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chaim [19]	1.8	2.2	1.9	11	15	<i>15e-1/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chaim [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]	2.1	3.1	8.3	<i>54e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]	17	23	120	10	<i>13e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]	13	16	21	33	<i>22e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]	1	2.5	2.4	30	<i>17e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]	1.7	4	42	60	59	13	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]	3.1	31	1900	<i>63e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1.2	3.9	2.9	6.1	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]	1.7	7.2	8.5	2.1	<i>15e+0/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]	3.4	1.5	3.4	8.8	11	9.7	28	50	160	<i>77e-6/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 11: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT _{best} /D	13.9	21.4	1370	1.22e5	1.77e6	4.59e6	4.61e6	4.62e6	6.2e6	6.26e6	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	2.9	2.5	1.5	1	2.5	<i>34e-2/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	3	6.6	4.5	<i>53e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	140	60	<i>20e+1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.8	4.1	<i>67e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	61	38	<i>10e+2/600</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.7	1.5	1	2.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	19	20	110	<i>44e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1e3	680	3500	<i>21e+0/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	3.2	2.9	22	<i>31e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	3	3.5	5.4	<i>24e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	150	100	<i>16e+1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	3.5	7.8	<i>12e+1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	8.3	7.2	3.7	2.5	3.2	<i>26e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.5	1	1.4	1.4	<i>19e-1/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	7.1	5	22	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	78	97	<i>36e+1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	19	28	130	<i>45e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.6	35	38	3.4	<i>31e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2.5	73	110	12	<i>14e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2.3	14	210	<i>66e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	85	13	4.9	<i>46e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	2.1	8.7	<i>10e+1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	83	21	11	3.6	5.4	20	<i>11e-2/6e6</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 12: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	3.33	9.63	21.3	337	684	832	900	963	1030	1120	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	ALPS [15]	9	29	33	19	330	<i>50e-9/1e6</i>					
AMaLGaM IDEA	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	3.9	3.6	3.5	30	190	270	260	330	380	350	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [23]	1.5	2.5	3.1	4.9	23	110	<i>14e-2/7e3</i>				avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	BayEDAeG [9]	4.9	8.2	46	<i>35e-1/2e3</i>							BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	BFGS [22]	130	390	920	<i>92e+0/3e3</i>							BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	2.5	6.3	4	1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	2.1	3.9	3.2	6.8	100	<i>29e-2/1e4</i>					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	DASA [18]	22	41	79	340	5600	<i>18e-2/9e4</i>					DASA [18]
DEPSO	DEPSO [11]	6.8	6.1	7.4	40	44	<i>25e-1/2e3</i>					DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [5]	5.2	12	62	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [23]	2	1.8	1	6.3	18	150	<i>93e-3/9e3</i>				full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [20]	6.1	7	4.1	1.7	7	<i>16e-1/300</i>					GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	2.4	2.5	2.3	91	340	420	430	460	440	570	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [19]	6.4	6.3	5.4	15	110	420	390	<i>28e-2/2e4</i>			MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [16]	1.1	1.4	41	<i>37e-1/1e4</i>							MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1.9	7.7	110	<i>44e-2/5e3</i>					NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	2.6	2.7	2.4	4.5	65	2400	<i>14e-3/1e6</i>				(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	PSO [6]	4.1	5.8	8.4	1900	2e3	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>					PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [7]	5.4	11	29	1200	<i>29e-1/1e5</i>						PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	12	160	1.9e4	<i>71e-1/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	2.6	2.2	2	2.5	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	SNOBFIT [17]	2.4	1.9	2.7	<i>34e-1/1e3</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [10]	9.1	7.2	4.5	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 13: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1.3	1.2	5.9	2.6	2	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.6	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1	1.2	4.6	2.4	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.7	23	14	31	55	<i>1.3e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.8	1.3	3.4	2.6	3.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	14	100	180	<i>24e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	2.1	2.4	1.5	1.3	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.1	25	8.6	40	92	<i>96e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	6.3	220	540	2700	<i>11e-1/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1.3	3.5	3.1	1	1	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.3	2.8	4.5	3.4	17	11	15	15	15	15	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2.3	28	19	57	<i>14e-1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.9	1.1	4.1	9.9	7.3	<i>18e-1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.9	1.8	1	4.7	4.2	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.5	2.1	2.2	1.5	4.9	3.4	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	2	1.5	9	92	<i>48e-2/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2.1	15	13	44	<i>18e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	2.2	12	13	32	230	1400	<i>23e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.5	1.8	470	180	420	<i>54e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.5	1.8	2.5	21	56	33	43	43	43	43	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.3	1.3	8.7	350	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.5	74	16	6.7	3.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.2	2.3	7.7	18	<i>30e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.3	28	13	13	7.3	15	15	15	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 14: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.2	2.32	153	2940	11300	15800	16700	16700	16700	17000	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1.3	1	1.2	1.2	1.6	7	35	63	63	63	96	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.6	1.4	1.4	17	7.1	5.7	14	19	19	19	20	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.3	110	74	74	<i>11e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	1.2	1.3	1.3	6.9	<i>73e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	20	67	<i>28e+0/800</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.5	21	21	2.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.1	43	43	7.2	24	<i>29e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	4.6	430	260	4.7	1100	<i>18e-1/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1.2	2.6	2.6	4.7	<i>42e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.3	1.3	1.3	21	28	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1.1	180	60	60	<i>82e-1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.5	2	2	2.7	4.5	<i>45e-1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.5	1.5	1.5	11	14	15	28	43	43	43	42	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.3	2.1	2.1	1.3	1.6	5.8	<i>29e-2/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	5	2.2	2.2	7.5	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	150	43	43	<i>89e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	9.9	38	13	13	70	<i>29e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.2	1.6	1.6	1	27	39	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.4	1.9	1.9	100	140	130	<i>47e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.5	1.6	2.7	2.7	34	1300	<i>44e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.3	130	15	15	4.6	12	<i>99e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.5	2.7	3.5	3.5	<i>56e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.9	1.9	34	14	140	1200	5400	5400	5400	5300	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 15: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D											ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1.3	2.5	1.3	6.8	25	9600	5.6	5	5	5	16e-3/1e6	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.3	1.9	1.8	4.1	2.9	5.6	10e-2/6e3	5	5	5	6.5	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.1	1.6	1.1	4.2	28	63	89e-2/2e3					avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.5	1.5	5.9	21	78							BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	32	120	2200	24e+0/2e3								BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.3	1.7	1.5	2.6	6.5	6.6	11e-2/1e4	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.7	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1.3	1.4	4.7	7.4	43	63e-2/8e5						(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	18	45	420	2700	6.5	65	58e-3/2e3					DASA [18]
DEPSO	1.1	2.3	4.8	5.5	180	65e-3/1e5						DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.7	1.7	7.7	19	17	57e-3/8e3						EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2.9	2	1	2.8	17	84e-2/300						full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.2	1.2	4.4	4.7	8.7	19						GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.3	1.6	4.2	30	72		20	20	20	18	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.3	1.5	3	3.2	400	83e-2/1e4		150	150	150	280	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1.4	1.4	43	42	34e-2/4e3						MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	2.1	1.2	2.9	14	64	3e3		1.4e4	1.4e4	1.4e4	2.5e4	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1.9	2.1	1.7	11	1100	38e-2/1e5						(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1.5	1.3	2.6	190	580	38e-2/1e5						PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.3	1	5.1	400	1100	34e-2/1e6						PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.3	1.8	22	910	1							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.4	1.4	1.5	1	1		1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.1	1.4	4.6	17	11e-1/1e3							SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	2.1	3.4	1	4.4	5.7	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 16: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT_{best}/D	22.9	268	1150	2890	4460	5250	5370	5520	6070	6330	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	3.1	2.1	1.5	2.4	4.5	14	26	57	280	2300	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	29	35	<i>95e+0/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	3	4.3	12	<i>37e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	68	<i>12e+2/900</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.8	1.1	1.2	2	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	2	2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	8.1	13	37	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	150	220	1300	<i>12e+0/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	2.6	1.5	1.9	4.7	3.2	<i>73e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1.7	3.4	25	96	150	270	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	37	45	<i>10e+1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2.6	3.2	4.5	<i>94e+0/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	15	4	4	3.2	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1.4	1	2.6	5.6	14	69	<i>37e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1.8	1.3	16	49	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	27	20	<i>74e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	6.2	11	40	1600	<i>17e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.8	60	240	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.2	60	130	150	<i>19e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.8	19	1700	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1.9	6.3	4.5	2.5	2.7	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.1	2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1.1	4.7	<i>83e+0/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	2	12	14	22	52	200	260	630	570	910	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 17: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ALPS	ERT _{best} /D	34.5	865	5340	15200	21900	25700	27400	30300	34600	38300	ERT _{best} /D
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	1	5.9	5.9	8.4	9.9	<i>13e-2/1e6</i>	27	45	370	ALPS [15]
avg NEWUOA	38	1.6	100	<i>30e+1/6e3</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAeG	18	1.6	<i>21e+1/2e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BFGS	18	1.8	<i>91e+1/600</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	24	6.6	2.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BFGS [22]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	24	1.1	1.1	28	<i>77e+0/1e4</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
DASA	160	1.6	1.9	<i>39e+0/7e5</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DEPSO	3.7	3.7	7.2	<i>15e+1/2e3</i>	DASA [18]
EDA-PSO	1.2	1.2	1.5	28	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>	DEPSO [11]
full NEWUOA	160	1.6	4.5	<i>28e+1/8e3</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
GLOBAL	15	2.9	3.6	<i>17e+1/800</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	15	1.5	4.5	4.3	9	12	17	32	35	67	<i>14e-6/1e6</i>	GLOBAL [20]
MA-LS-Chain	2	2.2	1.3	1.2	24	<i>27e-1/2e4</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MCS (Neum)	41	4.1	<i>41e+1/5e3</i>	1.2	<i>36e+0/1e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
NEWUOA	18	1.8	1.7	1.3	930	<i>67e-1/1e6</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
(1+1)-ES	68	6.8	46	57	96	<i>19e+0/1e5</i>	NEWUOA [23]
PSO	43	4.3	4.3	120	93	<i>39e+0/1e5</i>	NEWUOA [23]
PSO_Bounds	2	1.7	7.7	240	<i>94e-1/1e6</i>	PSO [6]
Monte Carlo	62	1.7	10	8.7	9.7	<i>26e+0/1e4</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2	2	8.4	<i>21e+1/1e3</i>	270	4600	<i>60e-2/7e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	100	1.4	1.4	21	270	4600	<i>60e-2/7e6</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
VNS (Garcia)	100	1.4	1.4	21	270	4600	<i>60e-2/7e6</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
												VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 18: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	5.28	10.9	85.8	243	311	355	400	441	486	583	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	ALPS [15]	10	34	13	32	940	4.1e4	4.1e-3/1e6	441	486	583	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	3.4	4.6	1	2.6	3.6	4.9	10	16	30	54	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [23]	1.2	1	1.8	8.3	64	<i>18e-2/7e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	BayEDAacG [9]	12	190	99	<i>90e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	BFGS [22]	210	1800	<i>31e+1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	4.2	7.8	3.2	2	1.9	2.1	2.1	2	2	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	2.8	5.7	8	22	230	<i>32e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	DASA [18]	53	270	1300	2.7e4	<i>27e-1/9e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	DEPSO [11]	10	18	17	38	97	<i>37e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [5]	5.6	65	110	320	910	4e3	<i>57e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [23]	1.3	1	1.3	5.9	70	<i>22e-2/9e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [20]	9	7	1.8	3	<i>74e-2/700</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	2.8	3.4	2.7	3.1	7.7	11	22	44	60	130	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [19]	5	12	4.1	14	44	86	130	260	370	640	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [16]	10	24	140	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [23]	1	1.3	4.3	10	120	<i>30e-2/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	3.7	13	36	530	<i>22e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	PSO [6]	4.3	670	800	2700	<i>51e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [7]	4.9	29	1800	<i>12e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	9	500	1.5e4	<i>10e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	3.9	9.7	3.8	2	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	SNOBFIT [17]	3.2	23	87	<i>33e+0/1e3</i>	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [10]	8.4	8.3	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 19: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{119} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D												ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1.8	0.2	2.1	2.35	131	227	473	2	2.2	29	<i>15e-7/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.8	1.8	1.4	4.6	4.6	9.8	10	2.7	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.4	19	23	<i>42e-2/6e3</i>								avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.1	1.3	2.2	2.2	4.6	4.2	4.2	<i>68e-4/2e3</i>	7.1				BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	45	220	120	<i>50e-1/2e3</i>								BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.7	1.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.5	2.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	32	18	15	140	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>							(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	41	210	750	1.5e4								DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.5	1.5	1	2.8	3.8	8.5	<i>19e-4/2e3</i>	1.8				DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.4	1.4	1.9	8.6	2.8	2.8						EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	4.6	12	22	260	<i>31e-2/8e3</i>							full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.5	1	4.7	<i>80e-2/900</i>								GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.3	1.3	9.1	19	6.7	3.8	6.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.8	1.6	1.3	4	3.3	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.1	4.7	<i>61e-7/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	3.5	2	43	<i>75e-3/1e4</i>							MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.7	26	35	<i>90e-2/5e3</i>								NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	63	15	12	260	<i>13e-3/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.3	1.8	1.7	120	60	18	36	18	36	<i>14e-5/1e5</i>		PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.2	1.7	1.7	56	73	44	35	17	35	97	<i>19e-5/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.5	1.4	12	6400	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	5.4	4.5	11	8.5	5.9	2.5	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.7	2.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.3	1.7	4.1	21	<i>51e-2/1e3</i>							SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1.2	2.8	8.2	7.4	10	4.6	7.9	4.6	7.9	130	1.2e4	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 20: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{120} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
			0.2	0.2	3.21	580	3740	6900	14500	35400	66700	1.1e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [15]			1.3	1.5	1.5	1	2.8	53	1e3	<i>34e-4/1e6</i>			ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]			1.4	1.5	1.5	13	16	35	66	130	<i>68e-5/1e6</i>		AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]			42	94	94	49	<i>15e-1/6e3</i>						avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG [9]			1.6	1.2	1.2	49	<i>17e-1/2e3</i>						BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS [22]			19	40	40	<i>37e-1/900</i>							BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]			26	17	17	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]			4.6	29	29	9.4	<i>45e-2/1e4</i>						(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]			40	840	840	260	<i>44e-2/7e5</i>						DASA [18]
DEPSO [11]			1.4	2.3	2.3	5	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>						DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]			1.3	1.1	1.1	14	23	64	<i>68e-3/1e5</i>				EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]			30	150	150	63	<i>22e-1/9e3</i>						full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]			1.3	1	1.8	1.8	<i>90e-2/900</i>						GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]			1.2	1.2	1.2	24	34	52	130	420	<i>13e-4/1e6</i>		iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain [19]			1.1	1	1	1.2	5.1	26	<i>34e-3/2e4</i>				MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]			1	1	3.7	8.5	38	<i>48e-2/1e4</i>					MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]			34	130	130	55	<i>24e-1/5e3</i>						NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]			2.7	54	54	21	340	<i>91e-3/1e6</i>					(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]			1.8	2	1	120	44	200	100	<i>16e-2/1e5</i>			PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]			1.7	1	1	88	77	<i>60e-2/1e5</i>					PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]			1.8	1.1	1.1	2.3	550	<i>11e-2/1e6</i>					Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]			1.2	48	48	11	5.9	21	<i>30e-2/1e4</i>				IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]			1.5	1.2	1.2	3.3	<i>13e-1/1e3</i>						SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]			1.2	2	2	11	41	740	<i>58e-4/7e6</i>				VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 21: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy											
Δ_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δ_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [15]	0.2	1.72	1.3	11	150	1.3e4	97e-4/1e6	525	774	1.240	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.5	2.1	1.8	12	25	26	34	42	99	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	3.3	4.3	3.3	45	790	38e-3/6e3				avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1.7	3	23	25	18	29	41e-4/2e3			BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1.30	42	71	370	50e-2/3e3					BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.3	2.7	1.1	1	1	1.1	1.7	2	2.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.6	9.8	59	35e-3/1e4					(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	13	180	3100	1.9e5	34e-2/7e5					DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.1	4.6	2.9	5.9	62	14e-3/2e3				DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.7	2.2	9.9	2100	98e-3/1e5					EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1.2	8	3.2	9.6	33	150	13e-3/8e3				full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.7	1.9	3.5	11	10e-2/400					GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	2.2	1.1	5.6	41	49	110	110	210	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.7	2.8	3.7	5.2	40	120	99e-5/2e4			MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1.5	11	180	88e-3/1e4					MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	3.9	4.8	15	76	86e-3/4e3					NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	4.4	3	4.5	49	2200	51e-4/1e6				(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.5	1.6	380	2300	83e-3/1e5					PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.1	1.5	1.8	700	3800	1.4e4	27e-2/1e5				PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.6	2.4	53	2e4	87e-3/1e6					Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	2.3	1	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFFT	1	1.5	1.6	1.9	24	11e-2/1e3					SNOBFFT [17]
VNS (García)	1	1.2	3.8	1.9	1.3	1.3	1	1	1	1	VNS (García) [10]

Table 22: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss												
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}	
ERT_{best}/D	0.2	0.2	2.04	345	1840	4320	6020	8140	10700	22300	ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	1	1.1	2	2.9	2.8	4.9	20	47	640	29e-6/1e6	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.3	4.9	5.6	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	2.6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1.5	6.1	34	<i>11e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1.3	1.3	2.3	<i>30e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	12	87	<i>36e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	21	58	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	3.7	410	3200	<i>10e-1/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1.1	3.4	2.9	<i>57e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	10	33	96	230	<i>37e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	2.1	30	110	<i>17e-1/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1.2	1.4	<i>18e-1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1.1	1.3	1.3	12	14	9	7.1	5.8	5.7	3.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.6	4.3	4.8	6.8	61	<i>76e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	2.8	13	<i>54e-2/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.9	14	91	<i>18e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	3.1	17	72	<i>24e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.1	1	48	120	330	<i>17e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	1.7	77	55	160	<i>85e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	2	100	<i>46e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2	8.1	3.6	3.3	3.3	9	14	6.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1.9	13	<i>20e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.4	18	34	120	1800	<i>76e-5/8e6</i>	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 23: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$											$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	1.1	1.1	1.1	2.1	<i>25e-2/1e6</i>						ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	1.8	8.7	150	<i>15e-2/1e6</i>						AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	2.5	80	<i>26e-1/6e3</i>								avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{cG}	1	1.1	2.7	<i>25e-1/2e3</i>								BayEDA _{cG} [9]
BFGS	1	1.4	46	<i>39e-1/900</i>								BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1.5	8.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	27	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>								(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	60	420	3e3	<i>14e-1/7e5</i>							DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1.3	2.5	9.2	<i>26e-1/2e3</i>							DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.2	1.7	33	<i>74e-2/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1.1	110	18	<i>35e-1/9e3</i>							full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	1.4	<i>20e-1/800</i>								GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.1	19	160	<i>18e-2/1e6</i>						iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Cham	1	1.3	1.3	2.4	<i>64e-2/2e4</i>							MA-LS-Cham [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	6.3	21	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>							MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1.3	65	<i>37e-1/5e3</i>								NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.2	22	23	<i>59e-2/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.7	48	87	<i>12e-1/1e5</i>						PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.2	70	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>							PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	1	15	<i>49e-2/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	6.1	5.5	<i>10e-1/1e4</i>							IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1.2	3.5	<i>25e-1/1e3</i>								SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.1	28	3300	<i>16e-2/8e6</i>						VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 24: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	0.2	1	1.1	1.4	14	3.3e4	<i>15e-2/1e6</i>	4100	5280	9070	19000	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	2.1	25	15	10	10	16	19	17	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	6.1	89	<i>63e-2/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1.1	1.8	8.7	6.9	<i>13e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	2.2	73	990	<i>39e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.5	1.5	1.1	1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	4.7	33	<i>38e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	6.5	320	2e4	<i>97e-2/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	2	10	<i>27e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.1	2	270	<i>56e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.3	5.3	45	<i>47e-2/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.2	1.7	21	<i>91e-2/800</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	1.1	15	40	18	17	48	57	35	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.3	1.8	5.1	870	<i>20e-2/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	16	<i>55e-2/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1.1	3	160	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.4	4.7	35	3.5e4	<i>12e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.1	1.2	930	<i>52e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	1.6	1400	<i>77e-2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	1.9	960	<i>46e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.6	1.8	1	3.9	1	1	1	1	1.9	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	1.1	18	<i>88e-2/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	3.5	21	30	41	69	460	5400	<i>20e-6/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 25: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	25000	47700	48300	48600	49200	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	1.3	35	1e4	3.5	140	290	290	<i>21e-4/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.1	37	6800	3.2	13	20	23	22	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	2	81	1e4	<i>36e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	1.2	42	3200	<i>65e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	37	2400	<i>46e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.1	17	3400	<i>75e-3/1e4</i>	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	140	4.6e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	36	6500	1.2e6	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	1	35	1.2e4	<i>98e-3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	1.1	31	9200	7.7	<i>11e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	3.9	26	5600	4.8	<i>41e-3/8e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1.3	35	1.3e4	<i>13e-2/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.2	24	6400	5.9	29	53	53	52	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1.3	35	4700	1.2	<i>80e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.7	<i>15e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	3.9	15	6100	2.8	<i>40e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	2.5	210	3.3e4	180	<i>13e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1.2	50	7e4	28	30	<i>29e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	1.2	27	2.2e4	7.9	<i>14e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	1.1	60	1.5e5	<i>36e-3/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	22	1.2e4	5.8	<i>28e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	1.3	30	1.1e4	<i>13e-2/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	1	52	2.6e4	27	250	1200	2500	<i>87e-5/8e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 26: 05-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}		$1e+03$		$1e+02$		$1e+01$		$1e+00$		$1e-01$		$1e-02$		$1e-03$		$1e-04$		$1e-05$		$1e-07$		Δf_{target}	
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$		0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	0.2	1	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS [15]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS [15]
AMaLgAM IDEA [4]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG [9]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS [22]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	DASA [18]
DEPSO [11]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain [19]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 27: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy												
Δf_{target}	ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	25700	68300	77000	77900	79100	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	ALPS [15]	1	1	1.4	46	<i>2.5e4</i>	<i>17e-3/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1	1.1	40	2700	2.2	5.1	10	14	26	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [23]	1	1	2	18	5200	<i>53e-3/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	BayEDAeG [9]	1	1	1.3	35	2200	<i>41e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	BFGS [22]	1	1	7.8	1300	<i>38e-2/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	1	1	1	19	2100	1.2	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	1	1.3	51	<i>5.5e4</i>	<i>92e-3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	DASA [18]	1	1	61	4400	2.8e6	<i>86e-3/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	DEPSO [11]	1	1	1.3	36	1.5e4	<i>96e-3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [5]	1	1	1.3	32	6.3e4	<i>49e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [23]	1	1	4.1	25	1.1e4	<i>59e-3/8e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [20]	1	1	1.1	37	<i>24e-2/800</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1	1.3	24	9800	3.9	17	41	40	180	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [19]	1	1	1.2	39	8600	7	<i>32e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [16]	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [23]	1	1	2.5	14	7200	<i>62e-3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	1	1	1.1	65	3.6e4	550	<i>24e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	PSO [6]	1	1	1	40	1.5e5	<i>75e-3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [7]	1	1	1.2	44	1.3e5	<i>78e-3/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	1	1	1	47	1.2e5	540	<i>33e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1	1	1	19	3700	1	2.2	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	SNOBFIT [17]	1	1	1.3	24	2.2e4	<i>20e-2/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [10]	1	1	1	52	2.7e4	14	120	210	210	210	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 28: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.2	0.2	22.2	850	1560	2100	2490	2980	3440	4230	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [15]	1	1	1	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1	1	1.2	46	46	35	30	25	22	18	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1	1.1	9.3	7.5	42	<i>31e-2/6e3</i>	.	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG [9]	1	1	1	1.3	2.8	19	<i>60e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS [22]	1	1	1	88	<i>94e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	1	1	1	2.2	6.9	10	7.8	6.6	5.5	4.8	3.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	1	1	9.1	4.6	6.8	11	17	14	19	<i>28e-3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]	1	1	1	230	180	400	2400	4200	<i>65e-3/7e5</i>	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO [11]	1	1	1	2.8	4.4	3.9	2.9	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.5	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]	1	1	1	1.4	33	44	33	28	24	21	17	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1	15	11	36	57	<i>16e-2/8e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]	1	1	1	1	1.6	2.3	1.7	4.8	<i>17e-1/900</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1	1	8.2	34	21	16	15	12	11	8.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain [19]	1	1	1	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]	1	1	1	4.6	2.3	3.6	9.5	59	<i>49e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]	1	1	1	12	17	43	<i>19e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]	1	1	1	7.5	5.8	8.4	10	26	75	140	1e3	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]	1	1	1	1.9	50	73	55	46	39	34	27	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]	1	1	1	2.2	100	130	130	110	93	81	66	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]	1	1	1	1.8	4.1	41	710	6e3	<i>10e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1	1	1	6.8	13	7.6	5.7	4.8	4	3.5	2.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]	1	1	1	2.4	3.3	4.7	7.1	6	<i>18e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]	1	1	1	1	1.3	12	8.7	7.4	6.2	5.5	5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 29: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.2	0.2	3.3	2140	11900	46300	56900	72900	1.02e5	1.16e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	3.3	2.7	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	2.7	19	12	4.2	4.8	4.5	3.3	4.2	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	68	20	<i>30e-1/6e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	27	<i>62e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	85	<i>76e-1/900</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	12	7.1	9.2	4.8	3.9	3	2.2	1.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	34	5.7	5.9	<i>77e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	230	140	400	<i>25e-2/7e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	5.7	14	<i>24e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	5.3	36	11	9	12	9.2	6.7	12	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	130	58	<i>55e-1/9e3</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1.5	1.7	1	<i>21e-1/900</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	25	25	13	4.3	4.7	4.4	5.8	8.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1.5	1	1.6	1.7	6.5	5	3.6	<i>16e-3/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	9.9	4.9	2.8	<i>64e-2/1e4</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	120	16	<i>61e-1/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	29	6.8	9	23	<i>60e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1	130	34	15	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	2.8	130	34	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	2.8	1.3	5.7	36	260	<i>13e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	42	9.2	3.8	<i>18e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	5.3	<i>29e-1/1e3</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	2.2	16	8.9	7	8.9	16	26	240	VNS (Garcia) [10]

129 Gallagher unif

Table 30: 05-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{130} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy												
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}	
ERT_{best}/D	0.2	0.2	10.9	162	607	1640	6560	6750	6780	6910	ERT_{best}/D	
ALPS	1	1	1.4	7.7	4.5	4.8	19	230	2100	<i>12e-5/1e6</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.1	160	140	52	13	13	14	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1.3	6.4	5.9	6	3	13	<i>14e-3/6e3</i>	.	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	1	1	2.5	170	47	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	34	110	<i>20e-1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	57	55	20	5.1	5	5	5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	6.8	4.6	6	6.8	<i>71e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	150	550	1500	<i>60e-3/7e5</i>	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	1	1	4.6	12	5.7	3.1	1	4.4	4.4	<i>94e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	5	310	110	130	110	<i>23e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	3	7	3	3	2.4	17	<i>14e-4/8e3</i>	.	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	1	1	2.5	1	1	1	1.1	17	<i>14e-4/8e3</i>	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.5	130	55	23	6.4	7	7.5	7.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.4	27	17	8.2	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.9	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	1	1	4.1	21	29	40	<i>35e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1	2.3	11	10	19	<i>62e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.3	5.8	3.1	6.6	7	76	380	<i>57e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	1.7	380	330	250	110	220	<i>78e-2/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1	3.1	570	480	<i>84e-2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	3.2	31	110	530	2300	<i>42e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	27	11	4.1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.5	3.8	5.3	9.1	2.3	<i>39e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.8	120	110	70	18	18	18	17	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

References

- [1] Anne Auger. Benchmarking the (1+1)-ES with one-fifth success rule on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2453–2458.
- [2] Anne Auger and Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking the (1+1)-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2467–2472.
- [3] Anne Auger and Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the pure random search on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2485–2490.
- [4] Peter A. N. Bosman, Jörn Grahl, and Dirk Thierens. AMaLGaM IDEAs in noisy black-box optimization benchmarking. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2351–2358.
- [5] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using an EDA and PSO hybrid. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2263–2268.
- [6] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using particle swarm optimization. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2269–2274.
- [7] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using PSO_Bounds. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2275–2280.
- [8] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noisy functions. Technical Report 2009/21, Research Center PPE, 2009.
- [9] Marcus R. Gallagher. Black-box optimization benchmarking: results for the BayEDAcG algorithm on the noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2383–2388.
- [10] Carlos García-Martínez and Manuel Lozano. A continuous variable neighbourhood search based on specialised EAs: application to the noisy BBO-benchmark 2009 testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2367–2374.
- [11] José García-Nieto, Enrique Alba, and Javier Apolloni. Particle swarm hybridized with differential evolution: black box optimization benchmarking for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2343–2350.
- [12] N. Hansen, A. Auger, S. Finck, and R. Ros. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Experimental setup. Technical Report RR-6828, INRIA, 2009.
- [13] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noisy functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6869, INRIA, 2009.
- [14] Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking a bi-population CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2397–2402.

- [15] Gregory S. Hornby. The Age-Layered Population Structure (ALPS) evolutionary algorithm, July 2009. Noisy testbed.
- [16] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of MCS on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 988.
- [17] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of SNOBFIT on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 987.
- [18] Peter Korosec and Jurij Silc. A stigmergy-based algorithm for black-box optimization: noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2375–2382.
- [19] Daniel Molina, Manuel Lozano, and Francisco Herrera. A memetic algorithm using local search chaining for black-box optimization benchmarking 2009 for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2359–2366.
- [20] László Pál, Tibor Csendes, Mihály Csaba Markót, and Arnold Neumaier. BBO-benchmarking of the GLOBAL method for the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 985.
- [21] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking sep-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2441–2446.
- [22] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the BFGS algorithm on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2415–2420.
- [23] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the NEWUOA on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2429–2434.
- [24] Franz Rothlauf, editor. *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO 2009, Proceedings, Montreal, Québec, Canada, July 8-12, 2009, Companion Material*. ACM, 2009.