

Comparison tables: BBOB 2009 noisy testbed in 20-D

The BBOBies

November 20, 2009

Abstract

This document provides tabular results of the workshop for Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking at GECCO 2009, see <http://coco.gforge.inria.fr/doku.php?id=bbob-2009>. More than 30 algorithms have been tested on 24 benchmark functions in dimensions between 2 and 40. A description of the used objective functions can be found in [13, 8]. The experimental set-up is described in [12].

The performance measure provided in the following tables is the expected number of objective function evaluations to reach a given target function value (ERT, expected running time), divided by the respective value for the best algorithm. Consequently, the best (smallest) value is 1 and the value 1 appears in each column at least once. See [12] for details on how ERT is obtained. All numbers are computed with no more than two digits of precision.

Table 1: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{101} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	0.05	91	100	38	44	51	60	68	79	100	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	59	57	57	18	20	21	22	24	26	31	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	3.3	3.3	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1.1	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	93	110	110	31	32	34	51	53	58	760	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	2.1e4	<i>11e+1/3e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	14	6.1	6.1	1.8	1.9	2	2.2	2.4	2.7	3.3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	15	4.4	4.4	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.7	2.1	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	63	22	22	6	6.3	6.9	8.3	9.8	12	16	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	32	23	23	10	16	23	35	50	110	<i>27e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	19	260	260	120	140	160	180	210	240	290	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	43	5.8	5.8	1.7	1.4	1.1	1.1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	34	9.1	9.1	3.5	5.3	7.1	7.8	8.9	19	250	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	22	23	23	7.4	8.1	8.7	10	11	12	15	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	20	17	17	6.2	7	7.4	8.4	8.9	9.5	11	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	330	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	8.9	3.1	3.1	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.6	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	16	4.9	4.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.9	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	15	15	16	8.6	11	13	16	19	22	28	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	15	91	91	200	220	230	240	260	300	880	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	120	<i>29e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	12	5.5	5.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.9	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	21	52	52	43	32	28	110	100	<i>37e-1/300</i>	.	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	35	8.5	8.5	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.9	3.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 2: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{102} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$											$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	61	29	10.6	34	41	44	50	52	53	59	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	46	18	1	19	21	21	21	20	19	19	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	1	1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1.1	1.3	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	52	30	30	27	29	33	34	36	37	430	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	6.4e4	<i>12e+1/3e3</i>									BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	13	1.7	1.1	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	12	1.1	1.1	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.6	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	72	8.2	6.9	7.4	8.5	8.9	11	12	17	34	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	35	6.9	7.4	8.8	14	21	37	53	170	<i>46e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	20	74	74	460	390	350	330	300	280	270	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	42	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	42	3.1	3.1	5.4	14	56	<i>24e-3/600</i>				GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	18	6.1	6.1	6.4	7.2	7.5	8	7.9	7.8	8.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	19	5.6	5.6	6.3	7.5	7.5	7.3	7	6.5	6.4	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	9	9	800	<i>25e-1/4e3</i>						MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	9.7	3.1	3.1	6.1	6.8	24	52	510	<i>31e-5/5e3</i>		NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	34	2.9	2.9	4	6	15	23	67	290	6700	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	19	4.9	4.9	370	280	220	190	160	140	120	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	15	21	21	270	680	570	510	440	390	640	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	110	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>									Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	13	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	35	79	79	<i>18e+0/300</i>							SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	36	2.5	2.5	2	2	2	2	1.9	1.9	2	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 3: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{103} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT _{best} /D	0.28	3.27	31.4	360	3600	3600	65.7	80.2	94.7	123	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	ALPS [15]	1	90	93	36	36	3600	65.7	80.2	94.7	123	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	61	62	18	15	11	19	51	81	110	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	avg NEWUOA [23]	1	19	3	1	2	20	660	<i>14e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	BayEDAeG [9]	1	73	100	28	29	25	26	25	23	<i>95e-8/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	BFGS [22]	1	510	46	8.8	5.9	3.5	2.8	2.3	1.9	1.5	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	1	15	5.5	1.7	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	15	3.7	1.1	2.5	12	690	<i>16e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	DASA [18]	1	64	17	6.6	87	5800	<i>75e-4/4e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [18]
DEPSO	DEPSO [11]	1	42	20	9.5	38	<i>34e-3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	EDA-PSO [5]	1	29	250	110	110	<i>28e-3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	full NEWUOA [23]	1	44	5.2	1.6	1.1	1.2	7.8	29	97	550	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	GLOBAL [20]	1	120	17	4.1	4	2.4	2	1.6	1.4	1.1	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	20	20	6.3	7.6	9.7	21	34	160	420	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	MA-LS-Chain [19]	1	19	14	5.4	5.7	4.7	6	9.3	35	480	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	MCS (Neum) [16]	1	1	1	31	26	15	35	83	91	450	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	NEWUOA [23]	1	9.5	2.3	1.1	5.9	44	1200	<i>48e-4/5e3</i>	.	.	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	(1+1)-ES [1]	1	15	3.4	1.2	1.7	21	4300	<i>42e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	PSO [6]	1	14	14	460	1700	<i>66e-3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	PSO_Bounds [7]	1	17	88	2700	<i>51e-2/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	Monte Carlo [3]	1	160	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1	15	5	1.4	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	SNOBFIT [17]	1	25	8.9	1.5	1	1	1.7	2.6	3.5	8.6	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	VNS (Garcia) [10]	1	37	7.7	1.9	1.6	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 4: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{104} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss												
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}	
ERT _{best} /D	5.1	48.2	1180	4280	8570	8900	9120	9290	9470	9810	ERT _{best} /D	
ALPS	72	21	60	19	12	17	24	72	120	<i>31e-5/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	30	7	4.7	1.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
avg NEWUOA	1.8	1.4	11	<i>98e-1/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]	
BayEDAeG	57	16	<i>26e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]	
BFGS	<i>13e+4/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]	
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.6	1.2	10	3.2	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.9	2.1	28	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	18	11	2.8	3.6	4.3	22	44	160	<i>24e-5/6e5</i>	.	DASA [18]	
DEPSO	17	8.2	<i>19e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	230	47	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	3.2	1.2	20	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]	
GLOBAL	5.3	2.2	1	1	1.7	1.7	<i>82e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [20]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	15	3	32	9.6	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
MA-LS-Chain	14	3.9	590	<i>14e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]	
MCS (Neum)	79	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]	
NEWUOA	1	1.5	68	<i>17e+0/6e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]	
(1+1)-ES	2.9	1	45	440	770	<i>12e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1400	330	340	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	94	670	1200	330	<i>72e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<i>91e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.1	21	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	
SNOBFIT	<i>63e+2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]	
VNS (Garcia)	5.6	1	<i>15e+0/7e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 5: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{105} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif												
	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT _{best} /D											ERT _{best} /D
ALPS		39	18	8.3	4	5.5	9.7	21	110	60e-4/2e5	33500	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		15	5.1	120	46	45	57	57	56	56	56	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	1.6	7.2	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>							avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG		30	16	<i>33e+0/2e3</i>								BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS		<i>15e+4/1e3</i>										BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		2.3	1.5	2.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1.5	1.7	15	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>							(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		11	14	1.4	4.6	51	<i>26e-2/5e5</i>					DASA [18]
DEPSO		8.7	6.9	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>								DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		120	40	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>								EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1.5	1	7.2	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>							full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		2.7	2.5	1	<i>27e+0/700</i>							GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		7.3	2.3	1500	<i>13e+0/1e6</i>							iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		7.4	4.4	150	49	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>						MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		77	<i>19e+1/4e3</i>									MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1.5	7.2	<i>24e+0/5e3</i>								NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		2.1	4.3	340	<i>13e+0/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		7.6	7.1	68	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>							PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		44	960	<i>78e+0/1e5</i>								PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		<i>88e+2/1e6</i>										Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1.8	6	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>								IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		370	<i>32e+2/300</i>									SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		2.9	33	<i>16e+0/7e5</i>								VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 6: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{106} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT _{best} /D	5.97	24.8	574	1080	1190	1240	1270	1300	1320	1370	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	62	41	76	130	940	<i>12e-2/2e5</i>					ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	25	12	640	1300	1200	1200	1500	1500	1500	1400	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.6	1.6	8.1	<i>74e-1/1e4</i>							avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	52	42	<i>51e+0/2e3</i>								BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	810	<i>91e+1/4e3</i>									BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	4.2	2.6	1	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.4	1.7	15	<i>62e-1/1e4</i>							(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	13	7.8	2.8	12	320	<i>76e-3/9e5</i>					DASA [18]
DEPSO	14	13	<i>25e+0/2e3</i>								DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	200	95	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>								EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2.5	1.7	3.3	12	28	<i>97e-2/1e4</i>					full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	5.3	4.6	1.9	5.4	<i>22e-1/1e3</i>						GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	12	5.5	130	6100	1.2e4	<i>47e-1/1e6</i>					iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	11	8	8.2	18	29	160	1100	<i>15e-3/1e5</i>			MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	190	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>									MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	7	31	<i>49e-1/8e3</i>						NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	2.3	1.2	8	210	<i>42e-2/1e6</i>						(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	14	630	2400	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>							PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	110	140	2500	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>							PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>98e+2/1e6</i>										Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.3	1.6	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFFT	150	<i>22e+2/300</i>									SNOBFFT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	5	2.4	1	3.1	3	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 7: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{107} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.05	8.85	429	679	811	1050	1370	2170	2620	3250	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	2.8	9.2	10	67	820	3500	<i>14e-2/2e5</i>	27	23	21	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	3	10	10	25	43	38	36	27	23	21	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	110	<i>64e+0/9e3</i>									avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	1	3.2	2.4		22	<i>15e-1/2e3</i>						BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	1	350	<i>11e+1/1e3</i>									BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	4.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	320	<i>75e+0/1e4</i>									(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1800	<i>64e+0/3e5</i>									DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	6.4	<i>38e+0/2e3</i>									DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	820	3500		<i>25e+0/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	320	<i>68e+0/1e4</i>									full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	7.5	<i>82e+0/400</i>									GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.5	19	19	100	93	75	59	37	37	39	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	11	11	27	41	46	44	34	34	230	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	19	<i>64e+0/4e3</i>									MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	96	<i>57e+0/4e3</i>									NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	140	<i>34e+0/1e6</i>									(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1700	<i>48e+0/1e5</i>									PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	15	<i>64e+0/1e5</i>									PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	4.8	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>									Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	260	24	24	31	180	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>					IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	3.5	<i>65e+0/300</i>									SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	130	260	260	7600	<i>27e-1/7e5</i>						VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 8: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{108} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$
	0.05	19.4	2900	4860	10200	19800	22300	25400	31500	44900	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS [15]	1	1.4	<i>24e+0/2e5</i>								ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	1.3	30	160	<i>48e-2/1e6</i>						AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]	1	700	<i>11e+1/9e3</i>								avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA-cG [9]	1	10	<i>72e+0/2e3</i>								BayEDA-cG [9]
BFGS [22]	1	120	<i>11e+1/800</i>								BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]	1	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	1	190	<i>80e+0/1e4</i>								(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]	1	870	<i>64e+0/3e5</i>								DASA [18]
DEPSO [11]	1	43	<i>81e+0/2e3</i>								DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]	1	3400	<i>91e+0/1e5</i>								EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]	1	1600	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>								full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]	1	4.5	<i>80e+0/300</i>								GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	1	20	76	270	670	<i>85e-2/1e6</i>					iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain [19]	1	1	<i>21e+0/1e5</i>								MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]	1	19	<i>69e+0/4e3</i>								MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]	1	260	<i>91e+0/4e3</i>								NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]	1	120	<i>41e+0/1e6</i>								(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]	1	3400	<i>95e+0/1e5</i>								PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]	1	5900	<i>10e+1/1e5</i>								PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]	1	2.2	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>								Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]	1	420	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>								IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]	1	2.5	<i>67e+0/300</i>								SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]	1	630	<i>37e+0/7e5</i>								VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 9: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{109} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.05	0.28	16.6	31.6	56.9	84	114	150	179	248	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS	1	70	20	<i>18e-1/2e5</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	61	9.1	26	46	67	63	53	65	69	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	19	17	<i>25e-1/9e3</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	93	20	23	25	27	24	100	<i>26e-5/2e3</i>	·	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	6700	610	490	560	380	280	210	180	130	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	14	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	12	11	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	960	4.2e4	<i>12e+0/3e5</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	49	7.2	97	<i>99e-2/2e3</i>	·	·	·	·	·	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	25	2500	<i>74e-1/1e5</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	93	23	<i>29e-1/1e4</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	50	3	190	<i>25e-1/400</i>	·	·	·	·	·	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	25	4	8.5	20	63	310	440	430	370	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	20	3.9	4.9	860	<i>54e-9/1e5</i>	·	·	·	·	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	20	280	980	670	490	<i>13e-1/4e3</i>	·	·	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	9.8	17	<i>33e-1/4e3</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	20	5.7	3800	<i>72e-2/1e6</i>	·	·	·	·	·	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	15	2600	<i>61e-1/1e5</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	41	2.4e4	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	100	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	23	16	<i>94e-1/300</i>	·	·	·	·	·	·	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	37	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 10: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{110} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	185	1270	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		5.7	53	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1.4	1.8	<i>56e+0/2e5</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		<i>55e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		3.7	1.8	<i>70e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		<i>14e+4/600</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	<i>17e+0/1e6</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		<i>46e+3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		<i>28e+3/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO		<i>72e+2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		44	92	<i>11e+1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		<i>65e+3/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		<i>46e+3/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1.1	5.7	<i>18e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		3.4	11	<i>28e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		<i>14e+3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		<i>19e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		<i>11e+3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		430	<i>85e+1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds		3500	<i>58e+2/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		<i>80e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		18	14	<i>60e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		<i>36e+3/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		25	130	<i>47e+0/7e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 11: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{111} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$											$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		350	<i>99e+1/2e5</i>	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	nan	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		7.4	12	<i>23e+0/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		<i>94e+3/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		29	<i>22e+2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		<i>45e+4/400</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	<i>18e+0/1e6</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		<i>44e+3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		<i>35e+3/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO		<i>77e+3/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		<i>36e+3/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		<i>11e+4/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		<i>58e+3/200</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		18	33	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1500	<i>17e+2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		<i>21e+3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		<i>63e+3/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		<i>15e+3/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		<i>51e+3/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds		<i>48e+3/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		<i>94e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		24	<i>15e+2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		<i>34e+3/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		<i>76e+2/7e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 12: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{112} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
112 Rosenbrock Cauchy												
ALPS	59	6.61	40	<i>21e+0/2e5</i>	780	930	920	1200	1200	1200	1200	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	23	1.3	7.5	260	780	930	920	1200	1200	1200	1200	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	45	1.3	3	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	45	1.3	23	<i>48e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	<i>14e+4/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.3	2.2	2.2	1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	2.3	2.3	3.7	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	13	13	84	<i>18e+0/4e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	12	18	18	<i>37e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	180	180	54	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	2.2	4.4	4.1	<i>19e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	4.4	2.3	2.3	2.2	<i>34e+0/500</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	11	10	3.3	540	560	520	500	490	480	480	460	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	240	240	4.6	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	2.2	2.6	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	12	2.2	2.7	<i>29e+0/5e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	88	2.2	2.7	5300	<i>15e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	88	88	1.5e4	<i>78e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	87	2.9	2.9	<i>76e+2/1e6</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	87	2.9	2.9	<i>76e+2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	87	2.9	2.9	<i>76e+2/1e6</i>	1	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	4.6	4.6	1.4	<i>15e+2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	4.6	4.6	1.4	<i>15e+2/300</i>	1.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 13: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{113} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	3.8	8.7	34	<i>58e-1/2e5</i>							ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.6	1.8	2.7	1.2	1.2	2	2	2	2	2	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	430	<i>29e+1/9e3</i>									avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	3.6	6.3									BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	240	<i>58e+1/1e3</i>									BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	6.7	2.9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	430	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>									(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	2700	<i>20e+1/3e5</i>									DASA [18]
DEPSO	7.4	52									DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	5.8	1100									EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	830	<i>38e+1/1e4</i>									full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1.7	<i>28e+1/400</i>									GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.9	1	5.1	4.3	4.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	2.4	6.1	26	<i>62e-1/1e5</i>							MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	58	630									MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	300	<i>24e+1/4e3</i>									NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	100	1.6e5									(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.8	3400									PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2.6	1.6e4									PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.9	1e4									Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	27	70									IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	7.5	<i>32e+1/300</i>									SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	74	1100	<i>12e+0/6e5</i>							VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 14: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{114} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
ERT _{best} /D	0.59	1660	10400	55900	72300	78500	78500	78500	78500	79100	ERT _{best} /D
ALPS	3.7	49	<i>70e+0/2e5</i>	34	62	89	89	89	89	89	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.2	3.2	12	34	62	89	89	89	89	89	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	700	<i>44e+1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	2.8	<i>32e+1/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	220	<i>67e+1/700</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	78	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	510	<i>34e+1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	2300	<i>27e+1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	32	<i>49e+1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.6	<i>30e+1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1e3	<i>53e+1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	2.5	<i>36e+1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.8	12	42	260	<i>27e-1/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	3.2	13	<i>67e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	210	<i>30e+1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	370	<i>47e+1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	230	<i>16e+1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	80	<i>26e+1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1.2e4	<i>37e+1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.5	1500	<i>11e+1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2700	45	<i>17e+1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	7.4	<i>37e+1/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	910	<i>11e+1/6e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 15: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{115} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$1e+03$	$1e+02$	$1e+01$	$1e+00$	$1e-01$	$1e-02$	$1e-03$	$1e-04$	$1e-05$	$1e-07$	Δt_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	4.1	20	320	<i>54e-1/2e5</i>							ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.3	11	2.1	1.2	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	7.2	1.5	110	<i>93e-1/1e4</i>							avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{cG}	2.6	22	22	<i>90e-1/2e3</i>							BayEDA _{cG} [9]
BFGS	1900	<i>62e+1/2e3</i>									BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.2	1.7	1	6.5	3.9	3	3	3	3	3	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.9	6.2	<i>21e+0/1e4</i>								(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	21	560	<i>41e+0/3e5</i>								DASA [18]
DEPSO	3.2	6.6	19	<i>93e-1/2e3</i>							DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	2.7	40	3500	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	17	4.3	120	<i>10e+0/1e4</i>							full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	3.5	4.5	<i>29e+0/300</i>								GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	4.5	1.3	1.7	2.4	7	7	7	7	6.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	2.4	4.7	5.7	470	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>						MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	160	<i>72e+0/4e3</i>								MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	3.3	1	240	<i>18e+0/4e3</i>							NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	7.5	8.3	1.2e5	<i>14e+0/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	2.1	390	<i>32e+0/1e5</i>								PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2.7	19	<i>47e+0/1e5</i>								PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.1	2.5e5	<i>11e+1/1e6</i>								Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2.5	1.8	1.3	1	1.9	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	3.3	180	<i>14e+1/300</i>								SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1.1	2.4	4.6	100	350	1200	1200	1200	1200	1200	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 16: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{116} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	1810	9510	24900	34700	44600	50200	51700	52800	54000	56200	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [15]	9.8		<i>30e+1/2e5</i>									ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	<i>20e+3/9e3</i>											avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	<i>24e+2/2e3</i>											BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>55e+3/700</i>											BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1.1	1.1	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>16e+3/1e4</i>											(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>95e+2/3e5</i>											DASA [18]
DEPSO	<i>16e+3/2e3</i>											DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	780		<i>41e+2/1e5</i>									EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<i>23e+3/1e4</i>											full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	<i>23e+3/300</i>											GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	2.4	2.4	5.4	3.7	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	25		<i>57e+1/1e5</i>									MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	<i>12e+3/4e3</i>											MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	<i>22e+3/4e3</i>											NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	<i>59e+2/1e6</i>											(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<i>60e+2/1e5</i>											PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<i>72e+2/1e5</i>											PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>44e+2/1e6</i>											Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	26		<i>13e+2/1e4</i>									IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	<i>18e+3/300</i>											SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	670		<i>14e+2/5e5</i>									VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 17: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{117} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	10100	35500	89300	1.23e5	1.3e5	1.38e5	1.45e5	1.54e5	1.62e5	1.81e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [15]		<i>32e+2/2e5</i>										ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		3.1	5.7	14	17	<i>90e-1/1e6</i>						AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		<i>24e+3/9e3</i>										avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG		<i>13e+3/2e3</i>										BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS		<i>49e+3/500</i>										BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		<i>18e+3/1e4</i>										(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		<i>12e+3/3e5</i>										DASA [18]
DEPSO		<i>26e+3/2e3</i>										DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		<i>13e+3/1e5</i>										EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		<i>32e+3/1e4</i>										full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		<i>26e+3/200</i>										GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		7.5	13	36	120	<i>19e+0/1e6</i>						iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		<i>36e+2/1e5</i>										MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		<i>20e+3/4e3</i>										MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		<i>26e+3/4e3</i>										NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		<i>69e+2/1e6</i>										(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		<i>15e+3/1e5</i>										PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		<i>17e+3/1e5</i>										PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		<i>44e+2/1e6</i>										Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		<i>99e+2/1e4</i>										IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		<i>24e+3/300</i>										SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		<i>94e+2/5e5</i>										VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 18: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{118} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D											ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	32	340	<i>46e+0/2e5</i>	589	876	1110	1320	1390	1500	1630		ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	6.9	1.9	1.4	1.9	2.9	6.8	7.3	7.3	8.8	8.8		AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1.3	7.9	<i>43e+0/1e4</i>									avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	120	<i>10e+2/2e3</i>										BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	<i>46e+3/2e3</i>											BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	3.4	1.4	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6		BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.8	410	<i>13e+1/1e4</i>									(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	240	<i>32e+1/5e5</i>										DASA [18]
DEPSO	18	<i>55e+1/2e3</i>										DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	58	3600	<i>31e+1/1e5</i>									EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	7.6	<i>44e+0/1e4</i>									full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	3	85	<i>15e+1/1e3</i>									GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.9	1	2.4	4.4	6.9	14	34	40	47	44		iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	9	8.8	470	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>								MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	<i>26e+2/4e3</i>											MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1.2	7.9	<i>62e+0/6e3</i>									NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	18	<i>18e+1/1e6</i>										(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	600	<i>56e+1/1e5</i>										PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2600	<i>78e+1/1e5</i>										PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>53e+2/1e6</i>											Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.5	2.3	2.2	1.8	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	<i>54e+2/300</i>											SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	3.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 19: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{119} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.05	0.05	139	1470	1800	3160	20500	47100	7e4	95100	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	6.4	1	6.4	3.6	55	960	<i>31e-2/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	3.8	1	6.8	12	15	3.1	1.4	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	300	<i>15e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG	1	1	5.7	2.7	3.9	<i>15e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS	1	1	740	<i>43e+0/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	14	1.6	1	1	1	1	1	1.3	1.1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	420	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	2300	<i>2.7e4</i>	<i>17e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEFSO	1	1	4.6	13	<i>83e-1/2e3</i>	DEFSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	6.6	680	<i>95e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	720	1e3	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	5.1	<i>21e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	5.3	4.2	22	44	35	7.5	3.8	2.8	2.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	5.7	2.5	47	190	<i>13e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	87	<i>14e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	33	400	<i>18e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	250	7300	<i>97e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	3.9	1100	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	6.5	2e3	<i>12e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	5.7	1800	<i>84e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	21	41	20	83	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	4.9	<i>18e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.6	140	1300	<i>18e-1/5e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 20: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on $f_{1,20}$, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.05	1800	1800	8940	14100	42600	79600	2.7e5	3.37e5	6.75e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	4.9	6.7	<i>58e-1/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	7.3	5.5	57	<i>37e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	760	<i>29e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1.1	4.6	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	470	<i>34e+0/800</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	120	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	990	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1300	<i>20e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	9.7	<i>31e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	4.2	380	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	3300	<i>34e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	5.3	<i>24e+0/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	3.7	10	120	<i>39e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	6.3	1.3	<i>56e-1/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	15	<i>15e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	590	<i>30e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	420	2500	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	5.3	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	8.5	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1.1	3.9	120	<i>81e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	6300	41	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	3.5	<i>19e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	3.6	450	<i>10e+0/6e5</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 21: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{121} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{f}_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		1	4.7	13	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	71.3	172	465	1100	1720	2870	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	6.5	6.4	6	47	36	25	13	15	20	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	82	49	<i>40e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1	5.3	20	33	43	<i>58e-3/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		1	2500	<i>33e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	23	1.2	1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.9	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	23	33	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	65	7.6e4	<i>11e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	10	7.7	130	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	5.7	2e3	<i>50e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	130	73	<i>53e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	7.4	4.8	<i>62e-1/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	5.1	3.4	12	57	150	120	75	50	39	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	4.5	3.9	97	9700	<i>18e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	16	<i>60e-1/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	29	31	<i>52e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1.1	25	17	<i>16e-1/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	3.5	1800	<i>64e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	4.7	4100	<i>85e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	3.9	2.6e4	<i>82e-1/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	15	1	1	1	1	1.2	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	5.7	<i>16e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	3.6	1.8	1.2	1.3	1.1	1	1.2	1.1	1.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 22: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{122} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δt_{target}	$1e+03$	$1e+02$	$1e+01$	$1e+00$	$1e-01$	$1e-02$	$1e-03$	$1e-04$	$1e-05$	$1e-07$	Δt_{target}
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.05	0.05	34.6	2600	6980	18800	39700	54200	99900	$2.91e5$	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		1	1.4	2.3	<i>22e-1/2e5</i>							ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.3	1.4	16	19	11	5.8	4.5	6.6	5.4	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	2.3	120	<i>77e-1/9e3</i>							avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAacG		1	1.1	2.4	<i>34e-1/2e3</i>							BayEDAacG [9]
BFGS		1	81	660	<i>13e+0/2e3</i>							BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1.5	150	<i>82e-1/1e4</i>							(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	60	1100	<i>75e-1/3e5</i>							DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1.1	3.1	<i>63e-1/2e3</i>							DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1.3	23	<i>59e-1/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	7	180	<i>76e-1/1e4</i>							full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1.3	8.4	<i>92e-1/400</i>							GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.3	1	44	32	16	10	8.7	14	24	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1.3	1	<i>27e-1/1e5</i>							MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	16	<i>77e-1/4e3</i>							MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	6.8	82	<i>80e-1/4e3</i>							NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	37	200	<i>57e-1/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1.5	4.7	<i>67e-1/1e5</i>							PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1.3	740	<i>80e-1/1e5</i>							PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1.3	12	<i>49e-1/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	5.2	65	<i>44e-1/1e4</i>							IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1.1	7.3	<i>98e-1/300</i>							SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1.2	3.1	<i>36e-1/5e5</i>							VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 23: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{123} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$
	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	0.05	0.05	53.1	26500	74400	1.63e5	2.64e5	4.89e5	1.36e6	7.92e6	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		1	1.6	3.1	<i>46e-1/2e5</i>							ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.4	1.9	550	<i>23e-1/1e6</i>						AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	130	410	<i>11e+0/9e3</i>							avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA-cG		1	1.4	14	<i>83e-1/2e3</i>							BayEDA-cG [9]
BFGS		1	35	<i>14e+0/900</i>								BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	5.1	5.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	8.5	130	<i>94e-1/1e4</i>							(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	140	620	<i>74e-1/3e5</i>							DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1.3	270	<i>12e+0/2e3</i>							DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1.4	5200	<i>13e+0/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	2.6	250	<i>99e-1/1e4</i>							full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1.2	7.3	<i>99e-1/300</i>							GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.5	12	<i>23e-1/1e6</i>							iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1.5	1	<i>45e-1/1e5</i>							MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	58	<i>87e-1/4e3</i>							MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	53	170	<i>10e+0/4e3</i>							NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	53	200	<i>64e-1/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1.3	730	<i>92e-1/1e5</i>							PSO [6]
PSO-Bounds		1	1.5	2200	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>							PSO-Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1.1	7.4	<i>53e-1/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1.5	150	<i>77e-1/1e4</i>							IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1.4	7	<i>10e+0/300</i>							SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1.2	410	<i>58e-1/5e5</i>							VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 24: 20-D, running time excess $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$ on f_{124} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS		1	1.5	4.9	<i>28e-1/2e5</i>							ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.5	4.6	11	3.3	5	4.5	4.3	3.3	2.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	3.3	95	<i>59e-1/9e3</i>							avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG		1	1.1	8.7	13	<i>37e-2/2e3</i>						BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS		1	120	<i>13e+0/2e3</i>								BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	4.7	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	3.7	14	<i>51e-1/1e4</i>							(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	12	470	<i>69e-1/3e5</i>							DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1.9	3.6	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>							DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1.4	7.6	<i>60e-1/1e5</i>							EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	1.5	120	<i>66e-1/1e4</i>							full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1.5	4.1	<i>69e-1/300</i>							GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1.4	2.8	7.8	19	27	17	8.3	6.2	4.3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1.3	1.2	<i>1.5e4</i>	<i>16e-1/1e5</i>						MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	12	<i>66e-1/4e3</i>							MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	10	91	<i>66e-1/4e3</i>							NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	4.3	66	<i>36e-1/1e6</i>							(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1.2	160	<i>54e-1/1e5</i>							PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1.7	35	<i>57e-1/1e5</i>							PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1.1	46	<i>51e-1/1e6</i>							Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	3.3	1	7.1	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.4	<i>41e-5/1e4</i>		IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1.2	6.7	<i>85e-1/300</i>							SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1.2	1.9	1	8.1	980	<i>24e-3/4e5</i>				VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 25: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{125} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	6.24e5	1.25e6	3.12e6	4.01e6	4.03e6	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	1	2500	<i>38e-2/2e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.1	1e3	<i>24e-2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	1	490	<i>45e-2/9e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	1.1	1800	<i>50e-2/2e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	53	<i>21e-1/2e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	380	9.8e6	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1.9e5	<i>96e-2/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	1.1	2.4e7	<i>11e-1/3e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	1.2	7800	<i>81e-2/2e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	1.2	3.1e5	<i>40e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	1.7	860	<i>44e-2/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1.1	<i>14e-1/400</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.1	680	<i>24e-2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1.2	1500	<i>39e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	•	•	•	•	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	1	410	<i>49e-2/4e3</i>	•	•	•	•	•	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	1	6.5e5	<i>75e-2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1.1	2.9e5	<i>72e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	1.1	1.4e6	<i>86e-2/1e5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	1	5.9e5	<i>80e-2/1e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	2e4	<i>59e-2/1e4</i>	•	•	•	•	•	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	1.1	1600	<i>91e-2/300</i>	•	•	•	•	•	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	1	4.2e4	<i>44e-2/2e6</i>	•	•	•	•	•	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 26: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{126} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	1	2500	<i>57e-2/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1300	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	120	2.6e6	<i>16e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	1	3.3e4	<i>94e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	23	<i>17e-1/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	5800	<i>30e-2/5e5</i>	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1.4e6	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	81	7.7e7	<i>12e-1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	1.1	<i>13e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	1.2	2.3e6	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	310	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1.1	<i>14e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.1	5700	<i>34e-2/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1.1	2500	<i>52e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	4.2	1.3e5	<i>12e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	1	3.1e6	<i>85e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1.1	3.1e6	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	1	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	1.1	3.2e5	<i>76e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	1.8e5	<i>89e-2/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	1	1400	<i>86e-2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	1	1.3e6	<i>67e-2/2e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 27: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{127} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target} ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	1.3	1400	<i>46e-2/2e5</i>	79500	2.22e5	3.4e5	3.63e5	3.71e5	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1.1	760	1.5e6	13	<i>83e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	7.7	220	<i>43e-2/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG	1	1	1	1.1	1600	<i>42e-2/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	140	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	180	9e5	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	3e4	<i>81e-2/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	1	7.5e7	<i>11e-1/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	1.1	950	<i>65e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	1.1	9.7e5	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	1	1400	<i>44e-2/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1.1	<i>15e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	560	3.3e6	19	67	44	41	40	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1.1	420	<i>43e-2/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	3.7	250	<i>45e-2/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	1.3	2.8e4	<i>62e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1	1.2e6	<i>86e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	1	1	1	1.1	2.3e6	<i>99e-2/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	1.3	5.2e5	<i>79e-2/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1	180	1.7e5	<i>83e-3/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	1.1	1700	<i>87e-2/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	1	350	1.1e6	<i>45e-3/2e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 28: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{128} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.05	0.05	7020	6.69e5	8.61e5	8.61e5	8.61e5	8.62e5	8.62e5	8.62e5	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS		1	1	240	5.3	4.3	<i>34e+0/2e5</i>	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	16	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA		1	1	<i>69e+0/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAeG		1	1	<i>45e+0/2e3</i>	BayEDAeG [9]
BFGS		1	1	<i>75e+0/1e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES		1	1	<i>66e+0/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA		1	1	<i>61e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO		1	1	<i>66e+0/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO		1	1	<i>73e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA		1	1	<i>71e+0/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL		1	1	<i>69e+0/400</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA		1	1	62	4.9	17	17	17	17	17	17	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain		1	1	210	<i>30e+0/1e5</i>	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)		1	1	<i>66e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA		1	1	<i>70e+0/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES		1	1	<i>34e+0/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO		1	1	<i>67e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds		1	1	<i>72e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo		1	1	<i>24e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES		1	1	21	<i>65e+0/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT		1	1	<i>68e+0/300</i>	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)		1	1	2e3	<i>35e+0/1e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 29: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on f_{129} , in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δt_{target}	$1e+03$	$1e+02$	$1e+01$	$1e+00$	$1e-01$	$1e-02$	$1e-03$	$1e-04$	$1e-05$	$1e-07$	Δt_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.05	0.05	$3.91e5$	$2.07e6$	$2.08e6$	$2.08e6$	$2.09e6$	$2.1e6$	$2.1e6$	$2.12e6$	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS [15]		1	1	<i>$32e+0/2e5$</i>								ALPS [15]
AMaLGaM IDEA [4]		1	1	18	7.1	<i>$23e+0/1e6$</i>						AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA [23]		1	1	<i>$74e+0/9e3$</i>								avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDA _{cG} [9]		1	1	<i>$69e+0/2e3$</i>								BayEDA _{cG} [9]
BFGS [22]		1	1	<i>$76e+0/900$</i>								BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]		1	1	<i>$70e+0/1e4$</i>								(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA [18]		1	1	<i>$58e+0/3e5$</i>								DASA [18]
DEPSO [11]		1	1	<i>$72e+0/2e3$</i>								DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO [5]		1	1	<i>$70e+0/1e5$</i>								EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA [23]		1	1	<i>$75e+0/1e4$</i>								full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL [20]		1	1	<i>$68e+0/300$</i>								GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]		1	1	<i>$31e+0/1e6$</i>								iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain [19]		1	1	<i>$27e+0/1e5$</i>								MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum) [16]		1	1	<i>$67e+0/4e3$</i>								MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA [23]		1	1	<i>$73e+0/4e3$</i>								NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES [1]		1	1	<i>$48e+0/1e6$</i>								(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO [6]		1	1	<i>$67e+0/1e5$</i>								PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds [7]		1	1	<i>$70e+0/1e5$</i>								PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo [3]		1	1	<i>$24e+0/1e6$</i>								Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]		1	1	<i>$69e+0/1e4$</i>								IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT [17]		1	1	<i>$67e+0/300$</i>								SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia) [10]		1	1	<i>$56e+0/7e5$</i>								VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 30: 20-D, running time excess ERT/ERT_{best} on $f_{1.30}$, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	Δf_{target}	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	Δf_{target}
	ERT_{best}/D	0.05	0.05	245	4660	12600	12600	12700	12700	12800	12900	ERT_{best}/D
ALPS	1	1	1	17	760	<i>21e-1/2e5</i>	ALPS [15]
AMaLgAM IDEA	1	1	1	7.1	220	81	83	83	83	83	85	AMaLgAM IDEA [4]
avg NEWUOA	1	1	1	6.6	<i>45e-1/9e3</i>	avg NEWUOA [23]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1	10	<i>99e-1/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	140	<i>75e+0/2e3</i>	BFGS [22]
BIPOP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.9	33	14	14	14	14	14	14	BIPOP-CMA-ES [14]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2.5	<i>25e-1/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	7500	<i>19e+0/3e5</i>	DASA [18]
DEPSO	1	1	1	6.3	6.3	<i>62e-1/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	5700	<i>49e+0/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	19	<i>70e-1/1e4</i>	full NEWUOA [23]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1	<i>72e-1/300</i>	GLOBAL [20]
iAMaLgAM IDEA	1	1	1	5.6	74	38	42	67	91	130	320	iAMaLgAM IDEA [4]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	24	87	32	38	120	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [19]
MCS (Neum)	1	1	1	37	<i>12e+0/4e3</i>	MCS (Neum) [16]
NEWUOA	1	1	1	9.1	<i>77e-1/4e3</i>	NEWUOA [23]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	4.2	200	<i>74e-2/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1600	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	5700	<i>50e+0/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	<i>25e+0/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [21]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	62e+0/300	SNOBFIT [17]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	69	78	48	48	48	48	48	47	VNS (Garcia) [10]

References

- [1] Anne Auger. Benchmarking the (1+1)-ES with one-fifth success rule on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2453–2458.
- [2] Anne Auger and Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking the (1+1)-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2467–2472.
- [3] Anne Auger and Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the pure random search on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2485–2490.
- [4] Peter A. N. Bosman, Jörn Grahl, and Dirk Thierens. AMaLGaM IDEAs in noisy black-box optimization benchmarking. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2351–2358.
- [5] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using an EDA and PSO hybrid. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2263–2268.
- [6] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using particle swarm optimization. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2269–2274.
- [7] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using PSO_Bounds. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2275–2280.
- [8] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noisy functions. Technical Report 2009/21, Research Center PPE, 2009.
- [9] Marcus R. Gallagher. Black-box optimization benchmarking: results for the BayEDAcG algorithm on the noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2383–2388.
- [10] Carlos García-Martínez and Manuel Lozano. A continuous variable neighbourhood search based on specialised EAs: application to the noisy BBO-benchmark 2009 testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2367–2374.
- [11] José García-Nieto, Enrique Alba, and Javier Apolloni. Particle swarm hybridized with differential evolution: black box optimization benchmarking for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2343–2350.
- [12] N. Hansen, A. Auger, S. Finck, and R. Ros. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Experimental setup. Technical Report RR-6828, INRIA, 2009.
- [13] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noisy functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6869, INRIA, 2009.
- [14] Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking a bi-population CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2397–2402.

- [15] Gregory S. Hornby. The Age-Layered Population Structure (ALPS) evolutionary algorithm, July 2009. Noisy testbed.
- [16] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of MCS on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 988.
- [17] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of SNOBFIT on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 987.
- [18] Peter Korosec and Jurij Silc. A stigmergy-based algorithm for black-box optimization: noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2375–2382.
- [19] Daniel Molina, Manuel Lozano, and Francisco Herrera. A memetic algorithm using local search chaining for black-box optimization benchmarking 2009 for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2359–2366.
- [20] László Pál, Tibor Csendes, Mihály Csaba Markót, and Arnold Neumaier. BBO-benchmarking of the GLOBAL method for the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 985.
- [21] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking sep-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2441–2446.
- [22] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the BFGS algorithm on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2415–2420.
- [23] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the NEWUOA on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [24], pages 2429–2434.
- [24] Franz Rothlauf, editor. *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO 2009, Proceedings, Montreal, Québec, Canada, July 8-12, 2009, Companion Material*. ACM, 2009.